Organization of the AAA⁺ Adaptor Protein PspA Is an Oligomeric Ring*[S]
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The 25.3 kDa “adaptor” protein, PspA (phage shock protein A), is found in the cytoplasm and in association with the inner membrane of certain bacteria. PspA plays critical roles in negatively regulating the phage shock response and maintaining membrane integrity, especially during the export of proteins such as virulence factors. Homologues of PspA function exist for thylakoid biogenesis. Here we report the first three-dimensional reconstruction of a PspA assembly from Escherichia coli, visualized by electron microscopy and single particle analysis to a resolution of 30 Å. The assembly forms a 9-fold rotationally symmetric ring with an outer diameter of 200 Å, an inner diameter of 95 Å, and a height of ~85 Å. The molecular mass of the complex was calculated to be 1022 kDa by size exclusion chromatography, suggesting that each of the nine domains is likely to be composed of four PspA subunits. The functional implications of this PspA structure are discussed in terms of its interaction with the protein export machinery of the bacterial cell and its AAA⁺ protein partner, PspF.

Virtually all bacteria are able to export a range of proteins from the cytoplasm to the cell surface, the extracellular environment, or, in the case of pathogenic bacteria, into the host cell. Protein export fulfills a wide range of functions, including the induction of virulence through the transmission of virulence factors that can induce proteolysis, cytotoxicity, or hemolysis. At least four distinct secretion mechanisms (types I-IV) have been identified (1) with the export process being mediated by complex protein assemblies. Determining the structural basis and function of export in bacterial pathogenesis has long held important implications, including the identification of potential antibacterial drug targets.

Secretin Pores—Central to protein export in certain bacteria are the secretin pores (e.g. PulD in Klebsiella pneumoniae, YscC in Yersinia enterocolitica, and InvG in Salmonella typhimurium). These are essential for the export of virulence factors across the outer bacterial membrane. Misinsertion of the secretin pores can be harmful to the bacterium. To prevent misinsertion, orchestrated insertion mechanisms involving chaperones have evolved (e.g. the high-fidelity insertion of PulD in K. pneumoniae by the outer membrane-anchored lipoprotein, PulS). In Escherichia coli, products of the psp operon have been implicated in combating the effects of misinsertion. The psp operon is directly induced when PulD is misinserted (2) and also when the Ysc secretin of Y. enterocolitica is misinserted due to the absence of its chaperone protein, YscW (3). Induction of the psp operon also occurs when the Sec pathway, which is involved in the export of proteins from the cytoplasm to the periplasm across the inner membrane, becomes blocked and results in a loss of proton motive force (4, 5). The inner membrane protein YidC functions to insert proteins into the inner membrane, either independently or cooperatively, with the Sec translocase. Interestingly, depletion of YidC results in the rapid induction of the psp response through a reduction in the proton motive force, possibly due to incorrect assembly of the cytochrome oxidase and F$_{1}$F$_{0}$ ATPase (6, 7). Collectively, these data suggest that the products of the psp operon have at least three functions as follows: (i) to confer the ability to cope with misinserted secretins into the outer membrane; (ii) to protect against blockage of the Sec dependent pathway; and, finally, (iii) to prevent incorrect insertion of proteins into the inner membrane via YidC. All these effects may be linked by a need to maintain proton motive force.

The psp operon has been identified in many pathogenic bacteria, including Y. enterocolitica, Shigella flexneri, Salmonella typhimurium, Vibrio cholerae, and K. pneumoniae. These bacteria are responsible for causing diarrhea, bacillary dysentery, gastroenteritis, cholera, and pneumonia, respectively. In a recent DNA microarray study aimed at gaining a global understanding of gene regulation during pathogenesis in S. typhimurium, almost a quarter of the genes underwent a change in their level of expression (8). Of these, the phage shock psp operon of Salmonella bacteria was one of the top three most highly expressed operons during infection. This finding clearly suggests that the psp operon fulfills a protective role during the infection process (8). Further evidence supporting the protective role of Psp proteins has emerged. In particular, the deletion of the pspC gene in Y. enterocolitica was reported to induce severe growth defects and the attenuation of virulence on the expression of YscC (9).

The psp Operon, AAA⁺ Proteins, and RNA Polymerase—In E. coli the psp operon pspABCD is transcribed by the RNA polymerase containing a $\sigma^{54}$ subunit ($\sigma^{54}$-RNA polymerase) and activated...
by an additional protein, PspF, which is a member of the AAA+ protein family (ATPase associated with a variety of cellular activities). PspF itself is divergently transcribed from the psp-ABCDE operon (10, 11). PspF is able to bind and hydrolize ATP by using the derived energy to remodel the σ70-RNA polymerase. The PspA protein forms a complex with PspF, thereby inhibiting its function by stopping its ATPase activity, either by preventing nucleotide access to the active site or by inhibiting formation of the correct oligomeric form (12). PspA has recently been classified as an "adapter" protein working with PspF (12–14). Notably, a homologue of PspA, VIPP1, is involved in the thylakoid biogenesis of Synechocystis spp. (15) and the higher plant Arabidopsis thaliana (16).

Here we present the first three-dimensional structure of a macromolecular assembly of E. coli PspA determined by electron microscopy on negatively stained particles and discuss how it might relate to cytoplasmic adapter and membrane-associated functions. Together, these data suggest that PspA has a role in membrane integrity and is essential for the adaptation to membrane stress imposed by the misinsertion of the components of the secretin pore.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of the PspA Complex—Plasmids, DNA manipulations, protein purification, and related analyses by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting have been described previously (12). Modification of the PspA purification protocol included the omission of the 1.1% CHAPS from fraction prior to dialysis. Instead, these fractions were dialyzed for 2 h in buffer 1 (200 mM NaCl, 75 mM NaSCN, and 20 mM Tris- HCl, pH 7.5) and then transferred to storage buffer (50 mM NaCl, 75 mM NaSCN, 20 mM Tris- HCl, pH 7.5) for a further 2 h. Protein concentration was measured using the Bio-Rad protein detection kit.

In vitro Assays—Protein binding assays were conducted in a 20-μl reaction volume using 2 μl of STA buffer (25 mM Tris acetate, pH 8, 8 mM magnesium acetate, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 3.5% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 6000) with 5 μM PspF (1–275) and increasing concentrations of PspA (5, 10, and 20 μM). Samples were incubated for 10 min at 30 °C and analyzed by 4.5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (25 mM Tris- HCl, pH 8, and 200 mM glycinе at 4 °C). α-Isomerization assays were conducted in 10-μl reaction volumes as described previously (12). Essentially, STA buffer containing 16 μM end-labeled heteroduplex DNA (mismatched at 12–11, consisting of the ~60 to 28 Sinorhizobium melloti nifH promoter, 1 μM αT, 4 μM dGTP, and 1 μM PspF (1–275) was pre-incubated with either storage buffer or increasing concentration of PspA (0.5, 1, and 2.5 μM) in 4 μl of TOED (400 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris- HCl, pH 8, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 50% glycerol) for 10 min at 30 °C. This mixture was subsequently added to the α-isomerization assay for 10 min and analyzed using a 4.5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 25 mM Tris- HCl, pH 8, and 200 mM glycinе at room temperature.

Size exclusion chromatography—Purified PspA (100 μM at 0.75 mg/ml) in SEC buffer (20 mM Tyrode’s pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, and 75 mM NaSCN) was analyzed on a Biosep SEC4000 column using a flow rate of 0.75 ml/min and a temperature of 4 °C. Fractions (0.75 ml) were collected between 2 and 15 min. Protein peaks were detected at 280 nm by a Beckman Coulter 166 Detector. The column was calibrated with the molecular mass standards of blue dextran (2000 kDa), thyroglobulin (669 kDa), ferritin (440 kDa), catalase (232 kDa), aldolase (158 kDa), bovine serum albumin (67 kDa), ovalbumin (43 kDa), and chymotrypsinogen (25 kDa), all at 2.2 mg/ml in SEC buffer.

Western Blotting—Proteins were separated by 15% SDS-PAGE (see Ref. 12) and electroblotted onto Immobilon-P membranes (Amersham Biosciences). Blots were blocked for 1 h in TBS (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl) with 5% nonfat dried milk at room temperature and then incubated with α-PspA (E. coli), a kind gift from Louise Lloyd, Imperial College, at 1:10,000 dilution in TBS with 5% nonfat dried milk. After washing in TBS plus 0.1% Tween 20, bound primary antibodies were labeled with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse (Sigma) at 1:10,000 dilution in TBS and 5% nonfat dried milk. Secondary antibody binding was detected using the ECL chemiluminescence system (Amersham Biosciences) and Biomax XR film (Kodak).

Electron Microscopy and Image Processing—Samples were negatively stained using 2% (w/v) uranyl acetate prior to imaging on a Philips CM100 electron microscope at 80 kV and a magnification of 51,500×. Twenty micrographs for each sample, displaying no discernible drift or astigmatism, were digitized at a step size of 10 μm using a Leafscan 45 densitometer. The resultant sampling frequency of 1.94 Å/pixel on the specimen scale was coarsened by a factor of 2 to increase the speed of all subsequent processing using ImageJ software (17, 18).

RESULTS

Isolation and Purification of PspA Protein from E. coli—Crude CHAPS-solubilized extract containing His₆-tagged PspA was applied to a nickel affinity column (Fig. 1a, lane 1). Subsequent elution with imidazole (250 mM) yielded essentially pure His₆-tagged PspA as judged by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1a, lane 2) and negative stain EM (Fig. 1b). In the latter, ring-like structures were evident. The activity of the PspA preparation was analyzed for its ability to form a complex with PspF(1–275) and also inhibit α-isomerization. Indeed, it was able to do both (data not shown) (12). To ensure that the His₆ tags of the expressed PspA did not contribute to the organization of PspA.
oligomers into rings, the tags were enzymatically cleaved. Cleavage did not change the activity of PspA. No ring dissociation was observed, confirming that the ring contacts were mediated by PspA and not the His6 tag.

To determine the molecular mass of the components of the PspA sample, the preparation was analyzed by size-exclusion HPLC (Fig. 2). Three main peaks with elution times of 7.29, 8.79 and 12.30 min were resolved. The first of these eluted in the void volume and consisted of the aggregated rings seen in Fig. 1b. The 8.79 and 12.30 min peaks correspond to apparent molecular masses of 1,023 kDa and 69 kDa, respectively. EM (Fig. 1c) and Western blot analysis (Fig. 1a; lane 3) of the 1023-kDa peak fraction confirmed that it consisted of PspA rings of similar structure. Given that the His6-tagged PspA monomeric unit has a molecular mass of 27.9 kDa, this suggests a composition of 36–37 PspA subunits. The 12.30 min peak of 69 kDa indicates a composition of either PspA dimers (55.8 kDa) or trimers (83.7 kDa).

Single Particle Image Analysis—The purified PspA complexes (see Fig. 3) were negatively stained, imaged and digitized to yield a 4,500-particle data set. Reference-free alignment and classification resolved particles into three populations, which were assigned to approximate top (1,100 particles), side (1,500 particles), and tilted (1,600 particles) views. The remainder of the single particle images (~300), which clearly contained more than one complex or stain artifact, were removed. Each subpopulation was treated de novo, and further iterative multi-reference alignments improved their signal-to-noise ratio. Representative two-dimensional average views for top, side, and tilted populations are shown in Fig. 3, panels a, c, and d, respectively. The top view in Fig. 3a is unsymmetrized but reveals a ring with nine distinct domains and an outer diameter of 200 Å. At the center of the ring, the black, stain-filled region (diameter 50 Å) is surrounded by features of weak contrast arranged in a ring with a diameter of 95 Å. The strongest features, attributable to protein density, lie between 95 and 200 Å diameter. The distance between the starred (*) peaks in panel c is 30 Å, indicating the presence of sub-domains.
Fig. 3c is a characteristic “side” view that displays a width of 200 Å and a thickness ranging between 80 Å and 110 Å. Fig. 3d concludes with a characteristic “tilted” view.

Features of the Three-dimensional Map—Surface rendered views of the three-dimensional structure of the E. coli PspA ring complex, together with respective two-dimensional reprojections, are shown in Fig. 4. The three-dimensional map was low pass filtered to 30 Å, the overall resolution having been estimated to be in this range by Fourier shell correlation. The calculated map has a similar appearance to the two-dimensional averages and was verified as being C9 point group symmetric, i.e., containing nine sets of subunits. The protrusions observed on either surface are different, and, at this resolution, each domain appears to contain four subdomains, such as those features within each domain separated by a distance of 30 Å, which are shown starred (*) in Fig. 4c. Overall, the final three-dimensional map has maximum dimensions of 200 Å in diameter with a maximum measured thickness of 85 Å. The three-dimensional map is effectively an average of all its constituent two-dimensional characteristic views, and the reprojection side view shown (Fig. 4c) has crenulated horizontal edges that vary the overall thickness. It is also important to note that possible effects due to the use of negative stain, e.g., dehydration, may occur and that a relative lack of direct two-dimensional side views was observed. Bearing these in mind, we suggest that the thickness of the three-dimensional map should be considered to be in a range of 80 to 110 Å.

DISCUSSION

We have calculated the first structural data for PspA. PspA was found to form a 9-fold symmetric ring having a HPLC-derived molecular mass of 1023 kDa. PspA is an important E. coli protein that plays a role in both the regulation of the psp operon through inhibition of the transcription activator AAA⁺ protein PspF and in the maintenance of the proton motive force and membrane integrity. Interactions with other Psp proteins are thought to be important for PspA functioning (5, 12, 22). PspA has recently been classified as an adaptor protein having modulation effects on the AAA⁺ protein PspF. Members of the adaptor protein family share no sequence homology, are structurally unrelated, but are generally small, with a common function in that they modulate the activity of AAA⁺ proteins. In this regard, it is of interest that we have found PspA to form a large macromolecular complex. The full biological significance of such a ring structure is unknown. Dimensions of a 200-Å diameter with ~85-Å thickness in our final three-dimensional map may be compared with that of a typical AAA⁺ protein complex, the PspF hexamer ring, which has been suggested by cryo-EM to be dimeric with a diameter of ~140 Å and thickness of 110 Å (23). These dimensions are thought to be characteristic of other AAA⁺ proteins, such as those found by cryo-EM and modeling studies of p97 (24–26).

An oligomer assembly and/or disassembly may act as an on/off switch for PspA functions, one of which is inhibition of PspF (Fig. 5). We have shown that our PspA samples, which
consisted predominantly of C9 symmetric rings, completely inhibit PspF(1–275) activity at a ratio of 1 PspF/2.5 PspA subunits (12). The PspF(1–275)-ADP-αP complex is likely to be a dimer of hexamers (23), indicating that a 12:36 PspF:PspA complex might form. Such a complex seems likely to be associated with inhibition, although we do not know whether initial complexes between PspA and PspF oligomers lead to further complexes in which important changes in subunit structure have occurred. The above dimensions of PspF and PspA suggest that complex function is possible through the interaction of the PspA ring and a PspF double hexamer. PspA has a membrane-related function reported to be mediated through protein-protein interactions involving the Psp proteins PspB and PspC (10, 22). These proteins are integral membrane proteins, and the periplasmic domains of PspC are able to form a dimer under normal conditions (22). Alterations in the stability of the membrane and dissipation of the proton motive force may induce disassociation of the PspC dimer, which is then able to interact with PspB and form a complex that preferentially binds to PspA, either in the dimeric or ring-like form (Fig. 5) (22, 23). This would provide a method of regulation in that, under different cellular concentrations or conditions, PspA may be more or less able to interact with PspB and PspC as opposed to PspF. The pIV secretin has been visualized by electron cryo-microscopy at a resolution of 22 Å, displaying dimensions of a 135 Å diameter and a length of 240 Å with a central pore diameter of 60–88 Å, and, being a D14 symmetric barrel-like structure, a dimer of two 14-multimer rings (27). How this structure is able to dimerize, releasing PspB and PspA. Subsequently, when membrane stability is no longer compromised, PspC may more or less able to interact with PspB and PspC as opposed to PspF. The pIV secretin has been visualized by electron cryo-microscopy at a resolution of 22 Å, displaying dimensions of a 135 Å diameter and a length of 240 Å with a central pore diameter of 60–88 Å, and, being a D14 symmetric barrel-like structure, a dimer of two 14-multimer rings (27). How this secretin is able to induce induction of the psp response remains unknown.

In one membrane-bound form, PspA may release PspF from its inhibited state, thereby allowing the transcription of the psp operon, the products of which have been reported to facilitate the stability of the membrane and proton motive force (10, 22). When membrane stability is no longer compromised, PspC may be more or less able to interact with PspB and PspA. Subsequently, PspA may again bind PspF, thereby acting in its inhibitory role and thus preventing further transcription of the psp operon. Evidence to support such a PspC monomer/dimer proposal originates from the Tim23 protein found in mitochondria, which is involved in the protein import machinery. It has a leucine zipper motif and forms a dimer in response to changes in membrane potential (22). The nonameric ring-like structure of PspA opens up the possibility of interaction with the F$_{1}$ membrane-bound ATPase, where symmetry match and mismatch would become significant.

In terms of subunit structure, it is also of note that PspA has a high sequence homology with the VIPP1 protein found in photosynthetic membranes. VIPP1 forms a coiled-coil structure, with a large coiled-coil region spanning residues 1–186 and a second smaller coiled-coil region spanning residues 187–222. VIPP1 plays an essential role in thylakoid biogenesis and has no known transcriptional regulatory functions or any role in modulating AAA$^{+}$ proteins (15, 16). Although this indicates that VIPP1 is not a member of the adaptor protein family, its structure could potentially shed light on the membrane-bound form of PspA and the role it plays in the bacterial protein export process.
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