Direct visualization of Ras proteins in spatially distinct cell surface microdomains

Ian A. Prior,1 Cornelia Muncke,1 Robert G. Parton,2 and John F. Hancock1

1Department of Pathology and Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4006, Australia
2Institute for Molecular Bioscience, Centre for Microscopy and Microanalysis and School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia

Localization of signaling complexes to specific microdomains coordinates signal transduction at the plasma membrane. Using immunogold electron microscopy of plasma membrane sheets coupled with spatial point pattern analysis, we have visualized morphologically featureless microdomains, including lipid rafts, in situ and at high resolution. We find that an inner-plasma membrane lipid raft marker displays cholesterol-dependent clustering in microdomains with a mean diameter of 44 nm that occupy 35% of the cell surface. Cross-linking an outer-leaflet raft protein results in the redistribution of inner leaflet rafts, but they retain their modular structure. Analysis of Ras microlocalization shows that inactive H-ras is distributed between lipid rafts and a cholesterol-independent microdomain. Conversely, activated H-ras and K-ras reside predominantly in nonoverlapping, cholesterol-independent microdomains. Galectin-1 stabilizes the association of activated H-ras with these nonraft microdomains, whereas K-ras clustering is supported by farnesylation, but not geranylgeranylation. These results illustrate that the inner plasma membrane comprises a complex mosaic of discrete microdomains. Differential spatial localization within this framework can likely account for the distinct signal outputs from the highly homologous Ras proteins.

Introduction

Current models of the plasma membrane predict the existence of a patchwork of specialized microdomains coordinating a variety of cellular functions including signaling, cell adhesion, and membrane trafficking. A well-characterized plasma membrane microdomain is the lipid raft comprised of sphingolipids packed together with cholesterol, although the existence of other lipid-based microdomains has also been proposed (Simons and Ikonen, 1997; Brown and London, 1998; Kurzchalia and Parton, 1999; Murray et al., 1999). Sophisticated fluorescence techniques strongly support the existence of outer-leaflet plasma membrane lipid rafts (Varma and Mayor, 1998; Pralle et al., 2000), but rafts need to be cross-linked in order to visualize clustering of putative inner leaflet raft-associated proteins (Harder et al., 1998). A major difficulty with accurately characterizing lipid rafts and other cell surface microdomains is imaging resolution resulting in relatively large discrepancies in estimates of microdomain parameters and composition, dependent on the techniques used to probe them (Kenworthy et al., 2000; Anderson and Jacobson, 2002). In addition, the interactions of signaling proteins with rafts are dynamic and regulated. The tools available to accurately assign proteins to specific domains in intact membranes are limited (Simons and Toomre, 2000). The microlocalization of some signaling proteins has been visually mapped at high resolution with electron microscopy. However, the assignments have been limited to morphologically identifiable plasma membrane structures such as caveolae (Mineo et al., 1999; Prior et al., 2001) or osmiophilic patches (Wilson et al., 2000, 2001), all of which account for a relatively minor fraction of the total cell surface. Therefore, in this study, we investigated whether electron microscopic techniques can also be used to assign proteins to morphologically featureless surface domains.

Ras GTPases play critical roles in transducing extracellular signals (Campbell et al., 1998). The Ras isoforms (H-ras, N-ras, and K-ras) are ubiquitously expressed in mammalian cells, and although highly homologous, these proteins generate different signal outputs (Bos, 1989; Umanoff et al., 1995; Koera et al., 1997; Yan et al., 1998). This biochemical diversity may result from differential lateral segregation of Ras proteins.
across plasma membrane microdomains that is regulated by their different COOH-terminal membrane anchors (Roy et al., 1999; Prior et al., 2001; Niv et al., 2002). We have directly investigated this hypothesis by mapping the cell surface distributions of Ras proteins at high resolution.

Results and discussion

Ras microlocalization was examined on intact 2-D sheets of apical plasma membrane, ripped off from adherent cells directly onto EM grids (Sanan and Anderson, 1991; Parton and Hancock, 2001). First, we investigated the distributions of GFP fused to the minimal plasma membrane targeting motifs of H-ras, GFP-tH (Fig. 1). GFP-tH, targeted by a combination of palmitoylation and a farnesylated CAAX motif, is an excellent lipid raft marker because even on high expression, it is localized exclusively to low density fractions on sucrose gradients (Prior et al., 2001). We visualized GFP-tH in plasma membrane sheets with anti-GFP–5 nm gold (Fig. 1 a). GFP-tH is extensively distributed in small, morphologically featureless patches. To analyze the complete gold patterns as rigorously and objectively as possible, we used statistical methods for point pattern analysis that are well established, but rarely used in cell biology. Ripley’s K-function (Ripley, 1977, 1979; Philimonenko et al., 2000) evaluates an exhaustive map of all interparticle distances over the study area and compares the observed distribution with that expected from complete spatial randomness (CSR). The analysis, which focuses on the second-order properties of the gold pattern, has the advantage that it analyzes spatial structure at multiple ranges simultaneously (Ripley, 1977, 1979; see Materials and methods and supplementary data).

K-function analysis of GFP-tH sheets shows that the gold pattern is clustered (Fig. 1 c, red line), i.e., the curve shows significant positive deviation from the $L(r) - r = 0$ value expected for a random point pattern. The maximum deviation of the GFP-tH $L(r) - r$ curve from CSR occurs at a radius of 22 nm. From these data, we can model the size and distribution of GFP-tH microdomains (Figs. S1 b and S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209091/DC1); we estimate that they are domains and S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209091/DC1); we estimate that they are domains with mean radius of 22 ± 4 nm that occupy 35% of the plasma membrane. The mean radius of 22 nm is within the range of lipid raft size derived by other methods, which estimated diameters of <70 nm (Friedrichson and Kurzchalia, 1998; Varma and Mayor, 1998; Pralle et al., 2000). Detection of clustering is extremely sensitive to fixation and labeling procedures. Glutaraldehyde fixation must be used to eliminate short-range antibody-induced aggregation into larger clusters (Fig. S3 a), and clustering is only evident when gold particles ≤6 nm in diameter are directly conjugated to primary antibody (Fig. S3 b).

We tested if GFP-tH microdomains are cholesterol-dependent by treating cells with methyl-β-cyclodextrin. Depletion of cell surface cholesterol, visualized by filipin staining (Fig. 1 b), did not cause any loss of GFP-tH from the plasma membrane, assessed qualitatively by fluorescence or quantitatively by immunogold labeling (not depicted). However, K-function analysis of the gold patterns reveals a time-dependent loss of GFP-tH clustering in cyclodextrin-treated cells (Fig. 1 c). After 60 min of cyclodextrin treatment, $L(r) - r$ tracks at zero over most of the $r$ range analyzed, indicating a random distribution. These results confirm that GFP-tH is localized to cholesterol-rich lipid rafts and reveals that their disruption disperses GFP-tH over the plasma membrane, rather than driving association with other microdomains. The presence of rafts in the extracellular leaflet of the plasma membrane was supported by studies showing cholesterol-dependent clustering of glycosphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins (Friedrichson and Kurzchalia, 1998; Harder et al., 1998; Varma and Mayor, 1998), but similar data for rafts in the intracellular leaflet have been lacking until now.

Next, we examined the relationship between inner- and outer-leaflet lipid rafts using a variation of the K-function analysis. When plasma membrane sheets are labeled for two different antigens with 2 nm and 4–5 nm gold, colocalization can be assessed using bivariate K-functions that determine whether one gold population is clustered with respect to the other (Diggle, 1986; see Materials and methods and supplementary data). We compared the distribution of GFP-tH with the outer-leaflet raft marker GFP-GPI (Fig. 2). Both proteins are GFP-tagged, but because only one membrane surface is exposed at any point in the labeling and rip-off procedure, no leakage of gold probes occurs (unpublished data). We used two protocols to induce different

*Abbreviations used in this paper: CSR, complete spatial randomness; GPI, glycosphosphatidylinositol.
degrees of GFP-GPI aggregation, as revealed by univariate K-function analysis of the 2-nm gold patterns (Fig. 2 c). The semi-patched technique induces relatively little GFP-GPI aggregation (univariate K-function shows a mean cluster radius of 50 nm), whereas the patched protocol, routinely used to visualize lipid rafts by immunofluorescence, induces very large GFP-GPI aggregates (univariate K-function shows a mean radius of 180 nm). It is not possible to completely evaluate unpatched GFP-GPI because this necessitates ripping off apical membranes from prefixed cells, a technique that has to date proven unsuccessful. The bivariate K-function shows that there is significant colocalization of GFP-tH with GFP-GPI only when GFP-GPI is aggregated into large patches (d, closed diamonds). There is a tendency for GFP-tH and GFP-GPI to colocalize with the semi-patched technique (open diamonds), but this is not statistically significant. K-functions are means (n ≥ 9 for each condition) standardized on the 99% CI (closed circles). Bars, 50 nm.

Full-length GFP–H-ras and GFP–H-rasG12V also have clustered distributions when expressed in BHK cells (Fig. 3). However, in contrast to GFP-tH, cyclodextrin treatment has only loosely associated at steady state. This offers a potential new mechanism for regulating signaling by modulating the extent of coupling between inner and outer leaflet rafts.
relatively small effects on the clustering of GDP-bound H-ras (Fig. 3 a), GTP-bound H-rasG12V (Fig. 3 b) or GFP-targeted by the COOH-terminal 25 amino acids of H-ras (GFP-CTH; unpublished data). These data are consistent with a model where full-length H-ras has affinity for, and is resident in at least two plasma membrane microdomains; lipid rafts, and a noncholesterol-dependent nonraft microdomain (Jaumot et al., 2001; Prior et al., 2001). To formally test this hypothesis, we used bivariate K-function analysis to study the interaction of H-ras with lipid rafts. GFP-tH was coexpressed with untagged H-ras and plasma membrane sheets labeled with anti-GFP–2 nm and anti-Ras–4 nm gold. Bivariate analysis of the gold patterns (Fig. 3 d) reveals extensive colocalization of GDP-bound H-ras with GFP-tH in serum-starved cells, and shows that this decreases with serum stimulation. Constitutively activated H-rasG12V gives an even clearer picture of this phenomenon in that $L_{biv}(r) - r$ trends around zero, indicating no detectable colocalization of GFP-tH with H-rasG12V (Fig. 3 d). Together with the recent FRAP analysis of GFP-H-Ras in living cells, our results strongly suggest that wild-type H-ras is normally in a dynamic equilibrium between lipid rafts and other noncholesterol-dependent microdomains. Interaction with the nonraft site is favored experimentally by disrupting lipid rafts, i.e., removing one of the H-ras interaction sites, or physiologically by GTP loading. Thus, constitutively active H-ras is predominantly resident in the nonraft microdomain. The interaction of H-ras with the nonraft domain requires protein sequences in the hypervariable region because GFP-tH clustering is abolished by cyclodextrin treatment, whereas GFP-CTH is not.

Recent studies have shown that galectin-1 interacts with the processed COOH terminus of activated H-ras and may stabilize plasma membrane binding (Paz et al., 2001). Therefore, we examined whether galectin-1 might also be involved in regulating the microlocalization of activated H-ras. Transfection with antisense galectin-1 reduces endogenous galectin-1 expression (Fig. 3 e; Paz et al., 2001) and significantly reduces the clustering of H-rasG12V (Fig. 3 f). These data suggest that galectin-1 may have a critical role in stabilizing H-ras interactions with nonraft microdomains that in turn may also be required for stable plasma membrane association of activated H-ras.

Little is known about the microlocalization of K-ras targeted by a polylysine domain and a farnesylated CAAX motif. Therefore, we investigated the distribution of GFP fused to the minimal plasma membrane targeting motifs of K-ras, GFP-tK. Analysis of the GFP-tK–labeled gold patterns reveals that they are clustered, but with different characteristics from GFP-tH (Fig. 4 a and Fig. S1 a). Modeling establishes that the GFP-tK domains have a mean radius of 16 ± 3 nm and occupy 20% of the plasma membrane (Fig. S1 c). In contrast to GFP-tH, cholesterol depletion causes a small rise in GFP-tK clustering after 60 min of cyclodextrin treatment. The subtle effect of cyclodextrin on GFP-tK microdomains may reflect a general role of cholesterol in maintaining overall plasma membrane integrity. Wild-type and constitutively active K-ras show identical clustering to GFP-tK, both in the presence or absence of cyclodextrin (unpublished data), and bivariate analysis of plasma membranes coexpressing GFP-tH and activated K-rasG12V showed no significant colocalization of the lipid raft marker with K-ras (Fig. 4 b). Clustering of GFP-tK and K-ras was unexpected, although biophysical studies have shown that myristoylated polybasic peptides can sequester negatively charged lipids to generate novel membrane domains (Murray et al., 1999). Intriguingly, clustering was strikingly reduced when the wild-type K-ras CVIM motif was replaced with CCIL, to direct geranylgeranylation rather than farnesylation (Fig. 4 c, GFP-tKCCIL). Therefore, our data show that nature of the
The first order property of a point pattern is its intensity \( \lambda \), which is given by the number of points in the study area \( \lambda = N/A \). The second order property is the expected number of any point in \( A \) within a distance \( r \) of any point in \( A \). It is given by \( K(r) = N(\pi r^2)/A \). This result indicates that a significant fraction of activated K-ras, but not H-ras, resides in microdomains labeled by GFP-tK. We can conclude from these data that the majority of activated H-ras does not colocalize with activated K-ras, indicating distinct (if not exclusive) locations for Ras microlocalization. The localization of activated H- and K-ras to different microdomains is important because if the distribution of effectors and/or concentration of cofactors for effector activation also varies across these distinct microenvironments, then differential signal output from H- and K-ras can be readily accounted for. For example, K-ras is a more potent activator of Raf than H-ras (Yan et al., 1998). Therefore, we might expect effectors in Raf activation (such as phosphatidylinositol) to be more enriched in K-ras compared with H-ras microdomains. The challenge now is to better define the protein and lipid content of these microdomains.

A recent study has shown GFP dimerization compromises FRET analysis of protein–protein interactions in intact cells and results in lipid raft–targeted CFP and YFP continuing to display FRET when cells are treated with cyclodextrin (Zacharias et al., 2002). In contrast, we show here that GFP-tH clustering detected by immunogold labeling is completely abolished with cyclodextrin treatment; thus, if GFP is dimerized, then the gold-labeled antibody must detect only one GFP epitope in the dimer. Therefore, this loss of clustering is an important validation of the methodology, and clearly demonstrates that potential antibody or GFP dimerization–induced artifacts are not being observed. A similar argument applies to the loss of GFP-tK and GFP–H-rasG12V clustering induced by changing the prenoid group or reducing galectin expression, respectively, and an analysis of non-GFP tagged Ras clustering with anti-Ras–5 nm gold and tin-1 stabilizes H-ras interactions with nonraft microdomains. Our analysis shows that activated H-ras and activated K-ras largely occupy spatially distinct plasma membrane signaling domains; an observation that could account for differential signal outputs from these highly homologous proteins. Finally, the technique we have described has the potential to spatially map any epitope-tagged or endogenous signaling protein with respect to validated microdomain signposts. This will greatly facilitate studies dissecting the spatial organization and regulation of plasma membrane–based signaling pathways.

**Materials and methods**

**Reagents**

Affinity-purified polyclonal anti-GFP and monoclonal anti-Ras Y13–238 antibodies, and GFP-tH, GFP-tK, and GFP-plasmin have been described previously (Prior et al., 2001). GFP-GPI was a gift from Chiara Zurzolo (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Naples, Italy) and GFP–EGF receptor was a gift from Mark Philips (New York University, NY). Galectin-1 antiserum expression plasmids and pAb was a gift from Yoel Klooog (Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel). Gold-conjugated antibodies were prepared by the tannic acid/citrate method (Slot and Geuze, 1985). 2-nm and 4–5-nm gold antibody conjugates were further purified on a 10–40% glycerol gradient.

**Electron microscopy and image analysis**

LipofectAMINE™-transfected cells were incubated overnight in serum-free medium and, if indicated, treated with 1% methyl-β-cyclodextrin. Plasma membrane sheets were prepared, fixed with 4% PFA, 0.1% glutaraldehyde, labeled as described previously (Parton and Hancock, 2001), and photographed in an electron microscope (model 1010; JEOL USA, Inc.). Lipid rafting can be observed by direct visualization of lipid raft-enriched membrane sheets prepared by the tannic acid/citrate method (Slot and Geuze, 1985). Gold-conjugated antibodies were prepared by the tannic acid/citrate method (Slot and Geuze, 1985). 2-nm and 4–5-nm gold antibody conjugates were further purified on a 10–40% glycerol gradient.

**GFP-GPI clustering**

Cells were cotransfected with GFP-GPI and GFP-tH constructs. For semipatching, cells on coverslips were incubated with anti-GFP–2 nm gold for 45 min at 10–12°C. To induce extensive aggregation (patched protocol), washed cells were then further incubated with anti-rabbit-CY3 for 45 min at 10–12°C. After further washing, plasma membrane sheets were prepared and labeled with anti-GFP–5 nm.

**Statistics**

The first order property of a point pattern is its intensity \( \lambda \), where \( N = \text{number of points in the study area} \). The second order property is characterized by Ripley’s K-function \( K(r) \), where the expected number of neighbors \( N(r) \) within a distance \( r \) of any point in \( A \) is given by \( N(r) = \lambda K(r) \). Thus, \( K(r) = N(r)/A \), and it normalizes \( N(r) \) for the density of the pattern.
Our question is whether gold particles are clustered or exhibit CSR. In random patterns, at any distance r, the expected value of N(r) is λπr², so K(r) = πr². If K(r) > πr², the gold particles have more neighbors than expected from CSR, i.e., are clustered. We use a linear transformation of K(r) where L(r) = K(r)/π (Besag, 1977). L(r)−r is readily interpreted because under CSR, the expected value of L(r)−r = 0 for all values of r. We estimate N(r) as the mean value calculated over A, taking into account border effects (Ripley, 1977), and calculate L(r)−r from the above equations. When there are big gold (N₁) and small gold (N₂) in A, their distributions are described by three K-functions. The univariate functions K₁(r) and K₂(r) are used as above. The bivariate function K_{12}(r) maps distances from each big to each small gold particle, and vice versa. K_{12}(r) examines whether either gold particle population, at a distance r, is clustered around the other; a test of colocalization (Diggle, 1986). Significance tests of L(r)−r and L_{12}(r)−r are performed by Monte Carlo methods (Besag and Diggle, 1977; for review see supplementary data).

Online supplemental material

Online supplemental material includes additional information on statistical methods, modeling of gold point patterns, and calibration of gold sizes. Figs. S1 and S2 show modeling data to estimate the size and distribution of GFP-4H and GFP-4K microdomains. Fig. S3 shows that glutaraldehyde fixation abolishes antibody-induced clustering, that probe size is important for detecting clustering, and that GFP-tagged EGF receptor is unclustered. Fig. S4 illustrates an example of gold size calibration, necessary for double-labeling studies. Online supplemental material available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200209091/DC1.
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