A Novel 14-Kilodalton Protein Interacts with the Mitogen-activated Protein Kinase Scaffold MP1 on a Late Endosomal/Lysosomal Compartment
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Abstract. We have identified a novel, highly conserved protein of 14 kD copurifying with late endosomes/lysosomes on density gradients. The protein, now termed p14, is peripherally associated with the cytoplasmic face of late endosomes/lysosomes in a variety of different cell types.

In a two-hybrid screen with p14 as a bait, we identified the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) scaffolding protein MAPK/extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK) kinase (MEK) partner 1 (MP1) as an interacting protein. We confirmed the specificity of this interaction in vitro by glutathione S-transferase pull-down assays and by coimmunoprecipitation, cosedimentation on glycerol gradients, and colocalization. Moreover, expression of a plasma membrane–targeted p14 causes mislocalization of coexpressed MP1. In addition, we could reconstitute protein complexes containing the p14–MP1 complex associated with ERK and MEK in vitro.

The interaction between p14 and MP1 suggests a MAPK scaffolding activity localized to the cytoplasmic surface of late endosomes/lysosomes, thereby combining catalytic scaffolding and subcellular compartmentalization as means to modulate MAPK signaling within a cell.
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Introduction

One of the challenges in cell biology is to identify the sequence of events following the activation of a signaling receptor. A large number of proteins involved in signal transduction have been identified, and several of these have been shown to be organized into transducing modules (for example, the mitogen-activated protein kinase [MAPK] module). However, the majority of receptors use only a limited number of modules. The key question is how the cell is able to orchestrate these modules in a way that allows specific signals to be translated into receptor-specific responses.

Membrane traffic helps to maintain the subcellular location of proteins and lipids. Within a cell, there are two separate major trafficking pathways, the secretory and the endocytic pathway, although both systems are interconnected. Intracellular trafficking plays a major role in signal transduction mainly because after ligand binding, most signaling receptors are endocytosed. For a long time, it was thought that the major impact of endocytosis on signaling is by downregulating the number of surface receptors (Di Fiore and Gill, 1999). Using dominant negative dynamin in EGF-activated cells, it became clear that the EGF receptor (EGFR) activates its targets Ras, Raf, and MAPK/extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK) kinase (MEK) at the plasma membrane. But endocytosis has to occur to activate the MAPK ERK (Kranenburg et al., 1999). Of additional interest in this context is that members of this growth factor family that differ in their intracellular fates also differ in their signaling properties. ErbB1 for instance is routed for lysosomal degradation only when induced by EGF but recycles when binding TGF-α. In contrast, ErbB3, whose ligands are the neuregulins, is always recycled (Baulida et al., 1996). Another receptor for which membrane traffic has
been shown to be important is protease-activated receptor (PAR2), a member of the PAR family of G protein-coupled receptors. Endocytosis of activated PAR2 is necessary for the activated receptor to meet its downstream effectors Raf and ERK on the endocytic compartment. By this, activated ERK is sequestered and kept away from entering the nucleus, thereby achieving substrate selectivity (DeFea et al., 2000). These functional studies together with localization data detecting members of the MAPK module on endocytic structures (Pol et al., 1998; Kränenburg et al., 1999) emphasized the importance of endocytosis as regulator of signal transduction (Ceresa and Schmid, 2000).

Within epithelial cells, an additional level of complexity is added to the organizational skills of a cell. Apical–basal polarity separates the epithelial cell into two distinct domains. Correct sorting of receptors and downstream effectors is crucial for proper flow of information (Kuwada et al., 1998; Hobert et al., 1999). Disturbance of this organization leads to pathophysiological consequences like increased autocrine stimulation of EGFR in cyst epithelia in polycystic kidney disease (Wilson, 1997) and cyst epithelia of colon carcinoma (Tong et al., 1998) or ablation of an EGFR-dependent, vulva-inducing signal in Caenorhabditis elegans (Kaech et al., 1998; Whitfield et al., 1999).

Another important concept emerging during very recent years aims to explain how an activated enzyme selects the appropriate substrates by scaffolding (Pawson and Scott, 1997; Whitmarsh and Davis, 1998). Several proteins have been identified that influence signaling by routing certain partners of a cascade together in one complex. The cellular repertoire of such molecules spans from organizers of giant “transducisomes” like inaD to simple trimeric complex builders like MEK partner 1 (MP1). Their role in signal transduction is thought to enhance specificity and selectivity by bringing together components of a given pathway and separating them from other upstream activators as well as from downstream targets (anchoring scaffolds) or bringing the selected partners in close proximity (catalytic scaffolds) (Burack and Shaw, 2000).

To better understand how a cell organizes spatiotemporal patterns of signal-transducing elements, it will be necessary to analyze protein complexes that are formed on and/or recruited to intracellular membranes upon signaling. An important question in this respect is whether scaffolding occurs on intracellular membranes. We approached this question by analyzing the proteomes of endocytic organelles by a combination of subcellular fractions as well as from downstream targets (anchoring scaffolds) or bringing the selected partners in close proximity (catalytic scaffolds) (Burack and Shaw, 2000).

In this report, we describe the identification of a hitherto uncharacterized protein. It localizes to late endosomes/lysosomes where it interacts with the MAPK scaffold MP1 (Schaeffer et al., 1998). Both proteins can be found in complex with elements of the MAPK module, raising the possibility that the MAPK ERK1 is recruited to and activated on late endosomes/lysosomes.

Materials and Methods

Cells and Tissue Culture

EpH4 murine mammary epithelial cells (Fialka et al., 1996), Caco-2 cells, and HeLa cells were grown in high glucose DME supplemented with 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.3, 50 IU/ml penicillin, 50 µg/ml streptomycin, and 5% FCS at 37°C, in 5% CO2 and 98% humidity. Media and reagents for tissue culture were purchased from GIBCO BRL (Life Technologies), and FCS was obtained from BioWhittaker (Boehringer).

Antibodies

Polyclonal anti-p14 antiserum was raised against a glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion protein of p14. Anti-MP1 antibodies were raised against the peptide Kp532 (CVSQRGVPV/KVANDSAPEHLR, amino acids 24–46, mouse MP1, sequence data available from GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ under accession no. AF082526) and affinity purified on Affi-Gel matrix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Polyclonal antibodies recognizing the myc epitope were obtained from Gramsch Laboratories. Antibodies specific for double phosphorylated ERK1/2 or MEK1/2 were purchased from New England BioLabs, Inc. Anti-His, and anti-Xpress antibodies were from Invitrogen, and the anti-CD107a (LAMP-1) antibody was obtained from BD Pharmingen. Polyclonal anti-GST antibodies were generated in the lab. Alexa 488™, Alexa 568™, Cy3™, and Texas red–labeled secondary antibodies were obtained from Molecular Probes, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, and Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, respectively. LysoTracker™, Red DND-99, and EGF-rhodamine were from Molecular Probes. Anti-EeA1 (Rubino et al., 2000) and anti-Rab11 (Ullrich et al., 1996) antibodies were generous gifts from Dr. Marino Zerial (European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany).

Cell Homogenization and Membrane Preparation

EpH4 cells were homogenized and postnuclear supernatant (PNS) was prepared in homogenization buffer (250 mM sucrose, 3 mM imidazole, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, containing a cocktail of protease inhibitors, 10 µg/ml aprotinin, 1 µg/ml pepstatin, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM Pefabloc solid compound (Boehringer) as outlined previously (Gruenberg and Gorvel, 1992; Fialka et al., 1997; Pasquali et al., 1997). Continuous sucrose gradients were used to separate different membrane compartments as described (Fialka et al., 1997). Peripheral membrane proteins were separated from integral membrane proteins by extraction with 0.1 M Na2CO3, pH 11.0 (Fujiki et al., 1982).

2DGE and Peptide Sequencing

2DGE and microsequencing of protein spots were performed as described in detail elsewhere (Fialka et al., 1997; Pasquali et al., 1997; Fialka et al., 1999).

Indirect Immunofluorescence

EpH4, HeLa, and Caco-2 cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in cytokeratin buffer (10 mM Pipes, pH 6.8, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM glucose, 5 mM MgCl2) for at least 30 min, quenched by three washes with washing buffer (cytoskeleton buffer, 50 mM NH4Cl), and permeabilized with cytokeratin buffer supplemented with 0.3–0.5% Triton X-100. Specimens were processed for indirect immunofluorescence, mounted in Mowiol (Hoechst), and finally viewed using an Axioplan2 microscope (ZEISS). For confocal microscopy, samples were mounted in 50% glycerol, 4% n-propyl gallate (Sigma-Aldrich) in cytokeratin buffer. Confocal images were obtained with a Leica TCS NT confocal microscope and processed using the Imaris and colocalization software packages (Bitplane AG) after deconvolution using measured point-spread functions with the Huygens software (Scientific Volume Imaging).

Protease K Treatment of PNS

Equal volumes of PNS from EpH4 cells (~0.5 µg/µl protein) were treated with increasing concentrations of protease K (0.01–10 µg/ml; GIBCO BRL) for 20 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by addition of 100 mM PMSF. Undigested membrane material was pelleted at 100,000 g and proteins were analyzed by immunoblots.

Two-Hybrid Screen

A two-hybrid screen was performed using the Matchmaker Gal4 Two-Hybrid System 2 (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.) following the manufacturer’s screening protocol. Bait constructs were generated by PCR from the original clone obtained from the United Kingdom Human Genome Mapping Project Resource Centre (I.M.A.G.E. Consortium CloneID 681056) (Lennon et al., 1996) using primers introducing an EcoRI site NH2-terminally and a PstI site COOH-terminally of the respective frag-
mements and subsequent cloning of these fragments into pAS2-1 (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.). The resulting chimeric proteins consisted of the Gal4 DNA binding domain fused in frame to the full length protein, an NH2-terminal fragment of p14 (amino acids 1–48), or two different COOH-terminal fragments (C1, amino acids 43–125; and C2, amino acids 80–125). After titration of the appropriate 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole concentration to inhibit background His3 activity, the different bait constructs were introduced into yeast strain HFC7, tested for autonomous activation, and subsequently screened for interacting polypeptides using a mouse embryonic Matchmaker cDNA library cloned into pACT2 (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.). The pAS2-1 C2 construct showed autoactivation resistant to 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole, and thus was not used for further screening.

Constructs and Transfection

Tagged versions of p14 and MP1 containing a triple myc tag at the NH2 termini of the proteins were constructed by PCR using primers introducing appropriate restriction sites (p14) or by direct cloning from one of the positive pACT2 clones (MP1) into a pBluescript SK vector containing three myc sequences preceded by a Kozak sequence (Kozak, 1999) that was constructed in our laboratory. The coding sequences of the resulting chimeric proteins (myc-p14 and myc-MP1) were cloned into expression vectors pREP10 (Invitrogen), resulting in sense or antisense myc-p14 constructs, and pUB6/V5-His (out of frame of the COOH-terminal V5-His tag) (Invitrogen), respectively. CAAX-tagged p14 was constructed by introducing a linker sequence encoding the last 21 amino acids of human K-ras (Boyce et al., 1999) of the COOH terminus of the p14 cDNA, replacing the STOP codon. Both bona fide p14 and p14-CAAX were cloned in frame with the His6/Xpress-tag into pEF4/HisC (Invitrogen) to give rise to NH2-terminally tagged X-p14 and X-p14-CAAX expression vectors. EGFP-p14 was constructed by cloning the coding sequence of p14 into pEGFP-C1 (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.). Cells were transfected with the different constructs by use of Lipofectamin Plus (GIBCO BRL) following the manufacturer’s suggestions and eventually selected accordingly for stable transfectants.

Recombinant proteins were constructed in pGEX6P3 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) or pET28 (Novagen).

Immunoprecipitation

Transfected cells were scraped in PBS and lysed by a combination of a quick freeze–thaw cycle and sonication. After centrifugation at 1,600 g, the supernatant was diluted in IP buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 0.65 mM MgSO4, 1.2 mM CaCl2, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM NaF, 20 mM β-glycerophosphate, and protease inhibitors as for cell homogenization). After preclearing with UltraLink™ immobilized protein A (Pierce Chemical Co.), the resulting supernatant was subjected to immunoprecipitation using preimmununserum or polyclonal anti-myc antibodies and UltraLink™ immobilized protein A or protein A alone as additional negative control. After three washes with IP buffer, the samples were boiled in loading buffer and resolved on SDS-PAGE.

Glycerol Density Gradients

Total membranes from Eph4 cells were enriched by centrifugation of PNS at 100,000 g, resuspended in extraction buffer (20 mM Hepes/KOH, pH 7.0, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM NaF, 20 mM β-glycerophosphate, and a cocktail of protease inhibitors as above), and extracted on ice for 30 min. The insoluble material was pelleted at 17,000 g and the resulting supernatant (containing p14 and MP1) was loaded on top of a continuous glycerol gradient (5–35% in extraction buffer). Gradients were centrifuged at 270,000 g overnight in an SW41 rotor (Beckman Coulter). Then, 600-μl fractions were collected, and proteins were precipitated and analyzed by 5% SDS-PAGE and immunoblots. For gradient calibration, we used a protein mix (1 μg/μl BSA, 4.5 S, 2 μg/μl aldolase, 7.3 S, 2 μg/μl catalase, 10.0 S, and 1 μg/μl thyroglobulin, 19.5 S, in homogenization buffer) the distribution of which was detected by Coomassie stain after SDS-PAGE.

In Vitro Pull-down Assay

Bacterial lysates containing recombinant proteins were prepared by sonication in PBS. GST and GST fusion proteins were bound to glutathione-Sepharose (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), washed with PBS, and incubated with lysates containing His6-tagged recombinant proteins for 20 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the Sepharose-bound proteins were washed, resuspended in sample buffer, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblots. Because of the reported promiscuity in the in vitro interaction of MP1 with the different isoforms of the kinases (Schaeffer et al., 1998), we used a GST–ERK2 construct that was obtained from M.J. Weber (University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA).

Electron Microscopy

Caco-2 cells expressing EGFP-p14 were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/0.1% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.35, for 1 h at room temperature. They were then washed with 0.2 M phosphate buffer, scraped from the culture dish, and pelleted in a microfuge. The cells were then resuspended in warm gelatin (10% in phosphate buffer) and repelleted at maximum speed in the microfuge. After cooling, the gelatin-embedded cells were infiltrated with polyvinyl pyrrolidone sucrose overnight at 4°C and then processed for frozen sectioning as described (Liu et al., 1997). Ultrathin frozen sections (60–80 nm) were labeled, stained, and viewed (Jeol 1010; Centre for Microscopy and Microanalysis) according to published techniques (Parton et al., 1997).

Online Supplemental Material

Caco-2 cells stably expressing EGFP-p14 were grown on coverslips and observed using a confocal microscope. Video available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/152/4/765/DC1 shows the enlargement of one of the EGFP-p14 labeled vesicles in Fig. 5, revealing the existence of a mobile internal vesicle.

Results

A New Highly Conserved Peripheral Membrane Protein Enriched in the Late Endosomal/Lysosomal Compartment

To investigate the protein composition of the endocytic compartment, we applied techniques already successfuly used for the analysis of membrane-associated organelle proteins within the mammal epithelial cell line Eph4 (Fi-alka et al., 1997, 1999; Pasquali et al., 1997, 1999). First, we performed sucrose density gradient centrifugation of PNSs to separate organelles derived from early and late endocytic compartments, respectively. It is important to emphasize that continuous sucrose gradients efficiently separate very light from dense fractions. In such gradients, Golgi complex membranes comigrate in fractions of medium density together with plasma membrane (both the apical and basolateral plasma membrane in epithelial cells) as well as with early endosomes. However, late endosomes which resemble a similar density as lysosomes could easily be separated and distinguished from the bulk of other membranes (Fi-alka et al., 1997, 1999; Pasquali et al., 1999). Gradient-enriched organelle fractions were then applied to high resolution 2DEG to obtain a protein pattern allowing the identification of proteins specifically enriched in late endosomal/lysosomal fractions. Since a lot of proteins described in regulating endocytic transport (for example, Rab proteins) or scaffolding signal transducing modules (for example, Ste5p) are peripherally associated with membranes, we further characterized the fractions by carbonate extraction at high pH (Fujiki et al., 1982; Pas-quali et al., 1997).

Fig. 1 A shows the analysis of the sucrose density gradient with respect to the activity of HRP internalized by fluid phase endocytosis for different time periods as well as by Western blotting for established compartment markers (Rab5 for the early endosome, Rab4, Rab11, and transferrin receptor for the recycling endosome, and Rab7 for the late endosome) (Bucci et al., 2000; Sonnichsen et al., 2000). Peak fractions for Rab5/HRP activity at 0 min chase (fraction 14–16) and Rab7/HRP activity at 20 min...
chase (fraction 6–7) were used for 2DGE analysis of early endosomal (Fig. 1 C) and late endosomal (Fig. 1 D) fractions, respectively. The second peak fraction of Rab5 (fraction 18–20) was omitted since it contained the bulk of plasma membrane and rough ER fractions.

A large number of spots were found to be enriched in either early or late endosomal fractions (compare Fig. 1, C and D). In this report, we describe the investigation of a particular protein spot with an experimental isoelectric point (pI) of \( \approx 5.0 \) and a molecular mass of \( \approx 14 \text{ kD} \) in more detail (arrow, inset in Fig. 1, B–D). It was abundant and enriched in gradient-purified organelle fractions containing mainly late endosomes and lysosomes when compared with PNS or early endosome–containing fractions (Fig. 1, B–D). In addition, this protein was exclusively associated with membrane fractions of that density and never detected in the cytoplasmic fractions.

### Figure 1. Subcellular fractionation and analysis of endosomal compartments.

(A) HRP was internalized into polarized EpH4 cells for 5 min. Cells were either kept on ice (0 min chase) or incubated for another 20 min at 37°C (20 min chase). Cells were scraped, homogenized, and PNS was prepared. PNS was loaded on top of continuous sucrose gradients and separated by centrifugation as outlined in Materials and Methods. Fractions were collected, and HRP activity was measured (top) and immunoblotted for Rab4, Rab5, Rab7, Rab11, and transferrin receptor (bottom). (B–D) PNS, fractions 6–7 (LE), and fractions 18–19 (EE) were analyzed by 2DGE and compared according to enrichment of protein spots in EE and LE versus PNS, respectively. Insets show the region containing a protein (arrow) with experimental Mr \( \approx 14 \text{ kD} \) and pI \( \approx 4.9 \), specifically enriched in LE.

### Figure 2. Sequences of p14 in multicellular organisms.

Comparison of conceptual translations of EST sequences from a variety of taxons.
The spot was excised from a preparative gel (Pasquali et al., 1997), digested, and microsequenced by Edman degradation. Two peptide sequences were obtained: KETV-GFGMLK and KAQALVQYLEEPLTQVA. These sequences were found to be part of open reading frames from several EST clones, originating from a variety of different species, organs, developmental stages, and cell lines. Comparison of the primary polypeptide sequences of different species revealed very high sequence conservation within multicellular organisms (Fig. 2). However, we did not detect a sequence with significant homology in the yeast genome. Comparison with databases of known proteins did not reveal homology to any other existing protein or protein domain. The calculated pI (5.3) and molecular mass (13,480 D) of the mouse protein, now termed p14, corresponded well with the experimental data obtained from our two-dimensional gels (see above; mouse sequence data for p14 are available from GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ under accession no. AJ277386). On the transcriptional level, we were able to detect a single RNA of 700 bp in EpH4 cells. We could show ubiquitous expression on a multiple tissue Northern blot from CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc. (data not shown).

In a secondary analysis, we investigated the membrane topology of p14. The protein displayed features of a peripheral membrane protein since it was partially extractable by carbonate at high pH (Fig. 3 A). Furthermore, we prepared PNSs under conditions that maintain the integrity of vesicles and subjected them to proteinase K digestion. Proteinase K is not membrane permeable and thus, digests at limited concentrations only proteins accessible at the cytoplasmic face of membranes. Under these conditions, p14 was much more sensitive to digestion than known lumenal marker proteins, such as calreticulin.
or even proteins on the cytoplasmic side, such as Rab5 (Somsel Rodman and Wanner-Ness, 2000) (Fig. 3 B). Calreticulin was chosen since it represented a well-characterized luminal marker protein of the ER, the most abundant vesicle fraction in PNSs. Taken together, these results suggested a peripheral association of p14 with the cytoplasmic face of membranes.

To confirm the subcellular localization of p14, we expressed an EGFP-tagged version of p14 in EpH4 cells and incubated the cells with LysoTracker™ Red DND-99, an acidotropic probe. Live microscopy revealed vesicular structures surrounded by the green fluorescence of EGFP–p14 and filled with the red fluorescence of the lysotracker (Fig. 4, A, A', and A''), indicating a localization of p14 on acidic organelles such as late endosomes and lysosomes. To exclude mistargeting mediated by the EGFP tag or by overexpression, the analysis was extended with differently tagged versions of p14 in two other cell types (Caco-2 and HeLa) (data not shown). Another epithelial cell line, Caco-2 cells stably expressing EGFP–p14, was used for coimmunofluorescence with LAMP-1, a transmembrane glycoprotein localizing mainly to late endosomes/lysosomes. In these cells, LAMP-1 showed a complete overlap with the exogenously expressed p14 (Fig. 4, B, B', and B''). This result was further confirmed by exploiting the well-studied intracellular trafficking of the EGFR and its ligand. After binding to the EGFR at the cell surface, EGF gets internalized together with its receptor and is transported to the early then to the late endocytic compartment and finally destined to degradation in lysosomes (Sorkin et al., 1988). EpH4 cells transiently transfected with EGFP–p14 were allowed to internalize EGF-rhodamine for various times and were then observed live under a microscope. EGF, initially separated from the green fluorescence (Fig. 5; 0, 5, and 10 min chase), was subsequently filling the compartment labeled with EGFP (Fig. 5; 24 h chase), confirming the association of p14 with late endosomes/lysosomes in living cells. In addition, HeLa cells stably transfected with EGFP–p14 were analyzed in coimmunolocalization experiments with early endocytic marker proteins. Neither EEA1 (Fig. 6, A, A', and A'')), involved in vesicular transport from the plasma membrane to the early endosomes (Rubino et al., 2000), or Rab11 (Fig. 6, B, B', and B''), which regulates recycling through the pericentriolar recycling endosome (Ullrich et al., 1996), showed any significant coimmunolocalization with p14.

Immunoelectron microscopy confirmed and extended these observations. The majority of the labeling for the EGFP-tagged protein was associated with large electron-lucent structures with internal vesicular or lamellar membranes that were labeled with antibodies to the late endosomal marker, lysobisphosphatidic acid (LBPA) (Fig. 7). As shown previously (Kobayashi et al., 1998), the LBPA antibody labeled the internal membranes of the late endosomes. In contrast, EGFP–p14 was predominantly, although not exclusively (see video at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/152/4/765/DC1), associated with the cytoplasmic surface of the late endosomes. In addition, EGFP–p14 labeling was associated with puta-
responsive lysosomal structures with an electron-dense core and negligible LBPA labeling (for example, Fig. 7 C). Smaller spherical LBPA-negative multivesicular endosomal structures, morphologically identifiable as endosome carrier vesicles (Clague et al., 1994), also showed low but specific labeling with the anti-GFP antibody (Fig. 7 C). Taken together, we conclude that this novel peripheral membrane protein localizes to the cytoplasmic face of late endosomal and lysosomal compartments in several different cell types and species.

**Interaction of p14 with MP1**

To investigate the biological function of p14, we attempted to identify potential interaction partners of p14. Therefore, we performed two-hybrid screens using different parts of the p14 coding sequence (full length, an NH₂-terminal, and a COOH-terminal fragment) as bait fused to the Gal4 DNA binding domain. With each construct, we screened 1.2–5.6 × 10⁵ independent clones of a mouse embryonic library (Table I). Among positive clones, several contained the complete coding region of MP1, a protein recently identified as a scaffold protein of the MAPK pathway (Schaeffer et al., 1998). We obtained interacting MP1 clones with all three different bait constructs (Table I), suggesting that different parts of the relatively small p14 protein were involved in the interaction with MP1. Alternatively, the small overlap of the NH₂- and COOH-terminal constructs (see Materials and Methods) may play a central role in the interaction with MP1.

The interaction between p14 and MP1 was further confirmed with a variety of methods. First, the direct interaction between these two proteins was tested in a GST pull-down assay. GST-tagged p14 was able to directly interact with His₆-T7-MP1 (Fig. 8, lane 2). The specificity of this interaction was shown by the failure of His₆-T7–tagged MP1 to interact with GST alone (Fig. 8, lanes 3 and 4) and by the ability of excess His₆-tagged p14 to abrogate the GST–p14/His₆-T7-MP1 interaction (Fig. 8, lane 1). In addition, His₆-tagged p14 weakly bound to GST–p14 (Fig. 8, lane 1), raising the possibility of a self-association.

The direct interaction in the yeast two-hybrid assay and in pull-down assays in vitro demonstrated the ability of the two proteins to form a complex. Next, we tested if this complex could also form in vivo. For this purpose, we constructed HeLa cells expressing myc-tagged versions of p14 or MP1 and performed immunoprecipitations with anti-myc antibodies. In these experiments, endogenous MP1 was detectable in immunoprecipitations of myc-p14 and endogenous p14 in immunoprecipitations of myc-MP1 (Fig. 9 A), demonstrating an in vivo interaction of p14 and MP1. To further analyze a possible complex of p14–MP1 proteins, we separated proteins and protein complexes after detergent extraction of a 100,000-g PNS pellet from EpH4 cells on continuous glycerol gradients. Herein, endogenous p14 and MP1 cofractionated with a similar sedimentation coefficient of ~5–6 S (Fig. 9 B).

Further support for a complex formation between p14 and MP1 in vivo came from colocalization experiments. In HeLa cells overexpressing Xpress-tagged p14 and myc-tagged MP1, both proteins localized to the same perinuclear, LAMP-1–positive compartment (Fig. 10). In addition, fusing Xpress-tagged p14 to the hypervariable domain and CAAX motif of K-Ras (Choy et al., 1999), leading to plasma membrane localization of p14, resulted in colocalization with MP1. The interaction of p14 with MP1 was confirmed by colocalization experiments and pull-down assays in vitro.

**Table I. Summary of Two-Hybrid Screen for p14 Interaction Partners**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bait</th>
<th>Screened clones</th>
<th>Positive clones</th>
<th>Typical false positive</th>
<th>MP1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gal4-wt</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁵</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gal4-N</td>
<td>4.8 × 10⁵</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gal4-Cl</td>
<td>5.6 × 10⁵</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. p14 does not colocalize with early endocytic markers. HeLa cells, stably transfected with EGFP-p14 (A’ and B’), were fixed and coimmunolocalization with EEA1 (A) and Rab11 (B) was performed. Merged images in A’ and B’. Bars, 10 μm.
in efficient recruitment of coexpressed MP1 to the same sites (Fig. 10, bottom).

**Recruitment of MAPK Cascade Components to the p14–MP1 Complex**

MP1 was identified as a complex partner for MEK1 and ERK1 in vivo as well as ERK2 in vitro (Schaeffer et al., 1998). This prompted us to try to reconstitute in vitro complexes and to analyze complexes from cells for all of these components.

For the in vitro reconstitution, bacterially expressed GST-tagged ERK2 was used to pull down His\(_6\)-p14, His\(_6\)-T7-MP1, and His\(_6\)-T7-MEK1. In this experimental setup, we failed to detect a direct interaction of MEK1 with MP1 in vitro (data not shown). The previously published
MEK1–MP1 interaction (Schaeffer et al., 1998) has been obtained using proteins produced with the baculovirus expression system. Therefore, the apparent difference might be explained by the source of recombinant MP1. However, His<sub>6</sub>–T7–tagged MP1 alone (lanes 2, 3, and 5) or with additional GST–ERK2 (lanes 5 and 6) was incubated with recombinant His<sub>6</sub>–T7–tagged p14 (lanes 1 and 2), GST (lanes 3 and 4), or Wunderlich et al. known substrates of MEK, are considered the effector end family of MAP kinases, ERK1 and ERK2 being the only MEK2 by dual phosphorylation. And finally, the ERK of the Raf family activate the MAPK kinases MEK1 or of three kinases, Raf<sub>f</sub>, B-Raf, and c-Raf) is thought to integrate up-

Discussion

The MAPK/ERK pathway is a ubiquitously expressed signaling module in vertebrate and invertebrate organisms which governs the proliferation, differentiation, and survival of cells. The basic setup of this pathway is a cascade of three kinases, Raf → MEK → MAPK/ERK, that sequentially activate each other and several associated proteins that modulate signal transduction. The Raf family of MAPK kinase kinases (consisting of three known members, A-Raf, B-Raf, and c-Raf) is thought to integrate upstream input signals into this biochemical signaling module. Besides other targets (Pearson et al., 2000), members of the Raf family activate the MAPK kinases MEK1 or MEK2 by dual phosphorylation. And finally, the ERK family of MAP kinases, ERK1 and ERK2 being the only known substrates of MEK, are considered the effector end with an impressive roster of >50 substrates described to date (Garrington and Johnson, 1999).

To achieve an appropriate physiological response, the cell has to generate specificity within the cascade and also at its effector end. Using signaling scaffolds and intracellular membrane transport, the cell recruits several different signaling molecules of a given cascade into a multiprotein complex (Pawson and Scott, 1997; Elion, 1998; Zuker and Ranganathan, 1999) while excluding others (Haugh et al., 1999a,b). Absence or mutation of scaffolds (Liao and Thorner, 1980; Inouye et al., 1997) as well as irregular intracellular trafficking (Kranenburg et al., 1999; York et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2000) results in functional incompetence of the cell to respond properly to signals.

In this report, we described our efforts in searching for and analyzing the composition of organelle fractions highly enriched in early or late endosomes/lysosomes, respectively, using a targeted proteomic approach. One of the proteins enriched on late endosomes/lysosomes was identified to be a novel, highly conserved protein. We demonstrated that this protein, which we termed p14, is peripherally associated with the cytoplasmic face of late endosomes/lysosomes.

In search of the molecular environment of this protein, we isolated the MAPK scaffold MP1 (Schaeffer et al., 1998) as interaction partner in a two-hybrid screen. We confirmed this interaction by GST pull-down assays, co-fractionation, coimmunoprecipitation, colocalization, and coimmsloalization experiments.

The interaction between p14 and MP1 raised the possi-

Figure 8. Interaction between p14 and MP1 in vitro. Recombi-
nant GST-tagged p14 (lanes 1 and 2), GST (lanes 3 and 4), or GST–ERK2 (lanes 5 and 6) was incubated with recombinant His<sub>6</sub>–T7–tagged MP1 alone (lanes 2, 3, and 5) or with additional His<sub>6</sub>–T7–tagged p14 (lanes 1, 4, and 6). Amounts of GST proteins are illustrated in the bottom panel by Ponceau staining.
vation of ERK1 by MEK1 (Schaeffer et al., 1998). Therefore, we tested if MEK or ERK recruitment to MP1 is disturbed or enhanced by p14. These experiments clearly demonstrated that it is possible to reconstitute complexes that contain a member of the MAPK module together with MP1 and p14 in vitro and in vivo, indicating that p14 does not disturb the direct interaction of MP1 with the MAPK module. The difficulty of these experiments is that scaffolding activity is not constitutive but always connected to a specific biological context. Therefore, for a really meaningful interpretation of assays investigating the effect of p14 on MAPK signaling, we will first have to define the upstream signal that uses the p14–MP1 complex to achieve its proper physiological response before further exploring a possible modulating activity of p14 per se. Connected to the question of the putative involvement of p14 in regulating MAPK signaling is the question of whether the p14–MP1 interaction is regulated. Our results indicated that binding of these two proteins to each other is constitutive because the interaction occurs in vitro with bacterially expressed proteins. However, disassembly could be an induced process, but again we will have to address this issue in future studies within the connected biological context.

The localization of the p14–MP1 complex on late endosomes/lysosomes suggests a function connected to this compartment. Lysosomes have mostly been considered to be the digestive organelles of the cell. In this context, a modulation of membrane transport towards lysosomes and/or back to the late endosomal compartment could be the target of a MAPK scaffolding activity, thereby connecting regulation of membrane transport and MAPK signaling. On the other hand, there is evidence for other functions of the lysosome because this organelle is able to fuse with the plasma membrane (Andrews, 2000). Although the role of this process is not yet clear for nonhematopoetic cells, it seems clear that it has to be tightly regulated. There is evidence from hematopoetic cells that extracellular signal-regulated kinases are involved in exocytosis of a lysosome-related organelle, the secretary granule (Trotta et al., 1998; Johnson et al., 1999), suggesting that lysosomal MAPK scaffolding could be involved in regulating lysosomal secretion.

In summary, our discovery bears the potential of combining scaffolding and intracellular membrane transport as means to control the specificity in MAPK signaling in the sense of the p14–MP1 complex being a MAPK scaffold localizing to the late endosomal/lysosomal compartment.
This would connect the concepts of catalytic scaffolds mainly described for the regulation of the MAPK pathway, and anchoring scaffolds, a mechanism already described for another signaling pathway, the protein kinase A (PKA) pathway. Herein, PKA is very locally activated by so-called A kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs). These proteins are interacting with the regulatory (R) subunit of PKA at the same time as they are targeted to specific subcellular locations, thereby mediating compartmentalization of PKA activity (Faux and Scott, 1996; Edwards and Scott, 2000).

However, we cannot yet exclude the possibility that the p14–MP1 complex, or one of the two, could be sequestered onto the late endosomal/lysosomal compartment. This sequestration could be released upon a signal that activates effector pathways that need MP1 to achieve their specificity.
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