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Background: The role of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) in neural stem/progenitor cell (NSPC) proliferation is poorly understood.

Results: Immunodepletion of cystatin C from NSPC conditioned medium abrogated an effect of APP on NSPC proliferation.

Conclusion: Cystatin C mediates APP-induced NSPC proliferation.

Significance: The results increase understanding of mechanisms promoting NSPC survival and differentiation.

The amyloid precursor protein (APP) is well studied for its role in Alzheimer disease. However, little is known about its normal function. In this study, we examined the role of APP in neural stem/progenitor cell (NSPC) proliferation. NSPCs derived from APP-overexpressing Tg2576 transgenic mice proliferated more rapidly than NSPCs from the corresponding background strain (C57Bl/6xSJL) wild-type mice. In contrast, NSPCs from APP knock-out (APP-KO) mice had reduced proliferation rates when compared with NSPCs from the corresponding background strain (C57Bl/6). A secreted factor, identified as cystatin C, was found to be responsible for this effect. Levels of cystatin C were higher in the Tg2576 conditioned medium and lower in the APP-KO conditioned medium. Furthermore, immunodepletion of cystatin C from the conditioned medium completely removed the ability of the conditioned medium to increase NSPC proliferation. The results demonstrate that APP expression stimulates NSPC proliferation and that this effect is mediated via an increase in cystatin C secretion.

Neural stem cells are self-renewing, multipotent cells that can produce all of the major cellular phenotypes in the nervous system (1, 2). Neural stem cells are important, not only because they produce the entire complement of neuronal and glial cells of the mature nervous system and continue to generate new neurons throughout life, but also because they may be useful for the therapeutic replacement of cells in neurodegenerative diseases. The mechanisms that promote neural stem or progenitor cell (NSPC) proliferation are only partially understood. Both epidermal growth factor (EGF) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) are well studied for their roles in stimulating NSPC proliferation in vitro (2, 3). However, other autocrine growth factors produced by the NSPCs themselves may also be necessary for optimum growth.

The β-amyloid precursor protein (APP) is a 110–130-kDa integral type I transmembrane glycoprotein that has been extensively studied for its role in Alzheimer disease (AD) (4). Despite the very large number of published studies on APP, the normal function of APP has remained a mystery. APP is encoded by a single gene located on chromosome 21 (5). APP is post-translationally glycosylated and phosphorylated and can be cleaved by two major proteolytic pathways. In one pathway, sequential cleavage of APP by α- and γ-secretase generates a large ectodomain fragment (sAPPα), which is secreted into the extracellular milieu, and a small C-terminal fragment (the APP intracellular domain or AICD), which may have a role in regulating gene expression. In the other pathway, cleavage of APP by β- and γ-secretase generates a different ectodomain fragment (sAPPβ), as well as the AICD peptide. Cleavage of APP via this second pathway also generates the β-amyloid protein (Aβ) of AD (6).

Although the normal function of APP is poorly understood, the pattern of expression of APP suggests that it may be important for neuronal growth and differentiation, not only in the developing brain but also in the mature or aging nervous system. The expression of APP has been shown to increase as the nervous system matures (7). APP expression increases as NSPCs mature into neurons, and soluble APP has been reported to promote neural differentiation (8, 9). APP may also play a role in later stages of neuronal development. For example, soluble APP is reported to stimulate neurite outgrowth in a variety of cell systems (10–14). Our studies have shown that APP expression is increased in the olfactory neuroepithelium at the developmental stage when neurogenesis and neurite outgrowth begin (15). Similarly, APP has been reported to regulate a number of developmental functions including neuronal disease; basic fibroblast growth factor; EdU, 5-ethyl-2'-deoxyuridine; ANOVA, analysis of variance.
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migration (16) and cell growth (17, 18). A role for APP in cell growth is supported by the rapid up-regulation of APP that occurs in response to axonal injury (19–21). Dystrophic neurites found around amyloid plaques are highly immunoreactive for APP (22–24), consistent with the possibility that APP may play a role in neural repair.

Neurogenesis is reported to be increased in transgenic mice that overexpress APP. For example, Jin et al. (25) reported a 2-fold increase in BrdU-labeled cells in PDGF-APPsw,Ing mice at 3 months of age. More recently, López-Toledano and Shelanski (26) reported similar findings. The increase in neural precursor proliferation was attributed either to a compensatory mechanism resulting from disease pathology in the mice (25) or to a direct effect of Aβ (26).

To address the role of APP in NSPC proliferation and neurogenesis, we have examined the growth and proliferation in culture of NSPCs derived from APP transgenic mice (Tg2576) and from APP knock-out (APP-KO) mice. We report that the proliferation rate of NSPCs from APP-overexpressing cells is increased and that the proliferation of NSPCs from APP-KO cells is decreased when compared with the corresponding background strain NSPCs. Furthermore, we report that this effect is mediated by a secreted factor. Despite previous suggestions that sAPPα can influence the growth of neural stem cells (9, 27, 28), we did not find any evidence that the effect on NSPCs is mediated by sAPPα. Instead, we demonstrate that APP-induced NSPC proliferation is mediated, at least in part, by secreted cystatin C.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials—Synthetic human sequence Aβ peptides (>95% pure) were obtained from the Kecck Biotechnology Resource Laboratory (New Haven, CT). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), B27 supplement, and poly-1-lysine were from Life Technologies Australia Pty. Ltd. (Mulgrave, Australia). Penicillin, streptomycin, human recombinant sAPPα, and human recombinant EGF were all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Pty. Ltd. (Castle Hill, Australia). Human recombinant bFGF was from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ). The anti-Aβ monoclonal antibody (mAb) 6E10 was from Covance Pty. Ltd. (North Ryde, New South Wales, Australia). Mouse recombinant cystatin C, anti-mouse cystatin C antibody, affinity-purified polyclonal goat immunoglobulin G, and normal goat immunoglobulin G were all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Pty. Ltd. (Castle Hill, Australia). Human recombinant bFGF was from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ). The anti-Aβ monoclonal antibody (mAb) 6E10 was from Covance Pty. Ltd. (North Ryde, New South Wales, Australia). Mouse recombinant cystatin C, anti-mouse cystatin C antibody, affinity-purified polyclonal goat immunoglobulin G, and normal goat immunoglobulin G were all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Pty. Ltd. (Castle Hill, Australia). Human recombinant bFGF was from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ). The anti-Aβ monoclonal antibody (mAb) 6E10 was from Covance Pty. Ltd. (North Ryde, New South Wales, Australia). Mouse recombinant cystatin C, anti-mouse cystatin C antibody, affinity-purified polyclonal goat immunoglobulin G, and normal goat immunoglobulin G were all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Pty. Ltd. (Castle Hill, Australia).

Analysis of Differentiation of NSPCs—Neurospheres were mechanically dissociated, and then isolated cells were plated at a density of 10⁵ cells/well in 24-well plate. The cells were grown in a differentiation medium (DMEM containing 1% fetal calf serum (FCS), 2% B27 supplement, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 units/ml streptomycin) for 5 days at 37 °C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. The cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (8 g/liter NaCl, 0.2 g/liter KCl, 1.44 g/liter Na₂HPO₄, and 0.24 g/liter KH₂PO₄, pH 7.2) for 15 min, permeabilized with 0.03% (v/v) Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, and then blocked in 4% goat serum in PBS for 20 min. Fixed cells were stained with mouse anti-βIII tubulin antibody (1:1000 diluted in 2% goat serum in PBS) and incubated with a goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (1:1000 diluted in 2% goat serum in PBS) and counterstained with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) at 1:7000 dilution.

Effect of Conditioned Medium on NSPC Proliferation—Conditioned medium was collected from neurosphere cultures that had been grown over a period of 7 days. To examine the effect of conditioned medium on NSPC proliferation, dissociated cells from neurospheres were cultured adherently on poly-1-lysine-coated 96-well plates in 100 μl/well of proliferation medium. Sixteen hours after plating, 100 μl of conditioned medium or normal proliferation medium (control) was added, and the cells

In Vitro Assays of Cell Number and Proliferation—Cell number was measured by alamarBlue assay (28). Dissociated cells cultured adherently on poly-1-lysine-coated 96-well plates were incubated for up to 6 days, and then 20 μl of alamarBlue reagent (Life Technologies Australia) was added into each well, and the cells were incubated for a further 4 h. The fluorescence intensity was determined using a FLUOstar Optima microplate fluorescence plate reader at an excitation wavelength of 540 nm and an emission wavelength of 590 nm. Cell number was expressed as the relative fluorescence intensity.

Cell proliferation was measured by EdU incorporation. After 4 days in proliferation medium, cells were incubated with EdU for 8 h as described previously (30).
were incubated for 3 or 5 days, after which proliferation was measured using the alamarBlue assay.

**Immunoblotting**—The level of sAPPα and cystatin C in conditioned medium was determined by Western blotting. The volume per well of conditioned medium that was analyzed was adjusted so that it represented the same number of viable cells, as determined using the alamarBlue assay. Routinely, ~10–30 μl was loaded into each gel lane for analysis. For the analysis of intracellular cystatin C, cells were washed with PBS and then lysed as described previously (31) prior to analysis by Western blotting.

Proteins were separated on 8% (sAPPα) or 12% (cystatin C) sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide (SDS-PAGE) gels before being transferred electrophoretically onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. Membranes were blocked for 1 h with 5% skim milk powder in 50 mM Tris-buffered saline (pH 8) containing 0.05% Tween 20 (TBS-Tween) and incubated overnight at 4 °C with either anti-APP antibody (22C11 at 1:1000 dilution or 6E10 at 1:1000 dilution) or anti-cystatin C antibody (1:1000 dilution). Chemiluminescence reactions were monitored using a CHEMI-SMART 5000, and images were collected using Chemi-Capt 50001. For quantification of immunoreactivity, images of blots were analyzed using ImageJ version 1.46.

**Immunoprecipitation**—For depletion of sAPPα or cystatin C from conditioned medium, mAb 6E10, anti-mouse cystatin C antibody, or goat immunoglobulin G (35 μg) was incubated with 500 μl of protein G agarose gel (Roche Products Pty. Ltd., Dee Why, Australia) overnight at 4 °C in 5 ml of PBS. The gel was then washed three times with 5 ml PBS, after which the gel was incubated with 5.5 ml of conditioned medium for 3 h at 4 °C. Finally, the gel slurry containing conditioned medium was centrifuged (10,000 × g), and the resulting supernatant fraction was assayed by Western blotting or used for cell proliferation experiments.

**Real-time PCR**—RNA was extracted from the neurospheres of n = 6 independent mouse cohorts using an RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen Pty. Ltd., Chadstone, Australia) as described by the manufacturer. Each preparation of neurospheres contained ~10⁶ cells. Six independent RNA extracts were obtained from each neurosphere preparation. cDNA was obtained from 400 ng of RNA with an RT² First Strand kit (Qiagen), and the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) primers were from Geneworks Pty. Ltd. (Hindmarsh, Australia). GAPDH was used as an internal control. All primers were used at a concentration of 10 μM. All samples were diluted 1:10 and analyzed in triplicate. Standard curves for Cst3 and GAPDH with concentrations 1, 0.5, 0.25, and 0.125 μg were used to quantify Cst3 mRNA using SYBR master mix (Qiagen). The results were analyzed using a LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics Australia Pty. Ltd., Castle Hill, Australia).

**Statistical Analysis**—Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism software, version 5.04. Data were tested by one-way ANOVA or Student’s t test. Post hoc comparisons were analyzed using Tukey’s test. Differences were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05. Data values are presented as the means ± S.E. All results were derived from at least three independent experiments in which cells were derived from at least three different mice of the same strain.

**RESULTS**

We first examined the level of APP in neosphere cultures to confirm that the level of APP expression was higher in the Tg2576 cultures than in the background strain (C57Bl/6xSJL) littermate control cultures. At 2, 4, and 6 days after plating, conditioned medium was analyzed for APP by Western blotting with mAb 22C11, which recognizes both mouse and human APP and the APP homologue amyloid protein-like protein-2, and with mAb 6E10, which recognizes human sAPPα. The results confirmed that APP levels were much higher in the medium of Tg2576 neurosphere cultures than in the background strain cultures (Fig. 1A). A major band of 100–110 kDa was detected in the medium, corresponding to the molecular mass of sAPPα.

Next, to examine the role of APP in NSPC proliferation, we compared the proliferation of cells derived from Tg2576 mice with that of the background strain controls. Neurosphere cultures were dissociated into a single cell suspension on day 7, and then cells were cultured adherently on poly-L-lysine-coated 96-well plates. The proliferation of the cells was measured using an alamarBlue assay. Fluorescence intensity in an alamarBlue assay was taken as an index of the number of viable cells. Overall, the growth rate of the cells derived from the Tg2576 mice was significantly greater (p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test) than that of the cells derived from the background strain mice (Fig. 1B).

We also examined the growth of neurospheres to determine whether the proliferation of NSPCs was increased in the Tg2576 cultures. Neurospheres were cultured for a period of 7 days, after which they were examined under phase-contrast microscopy (Fig. 1, C and D). Neurospheres derived from Tg2576 mice were on average greater in size than the neurospheres from the background strain mice, confirming that the growth of NSPCs in Tg2576 cultures was greater than that of the background strain cultures.

To confirm that the increased growth of the Tg2576 NSPC was due to a higher proliferation rate, we measured proliferation using an EdU uptake assay (30). The percentage of EdU-positive cells in the Tg2576 cultures was ~20% higher than the cultures derived from background strain mice (Fig. 1E). These results clearly supported the view that the increased growth observed using the alamarBlue assay and in the neurosphere cultures was due to an increase in the amount of NSPC proliferation.

To determine whether the higher proliferation rate of the Tg2576 cells was also associated with a higher potential for neuronal differentiation, isolated NSPCs, grown adherently, were transferred to a differentiation medium containing 1% FCS but lacking EGF and bFGF. The cells were incubated over 5 days and then immunostained for the neuronal marker βIII-tubulin (Fig. 2). The results showed that there was an increased proportion of neurons in the Tg2576 cell population when compared with the cells derived from the background strain (C57Bl/6xSJL) mice (Fig. 2). Tg2576 cells also possessed longer,
more extensive neurite networks than the background strain cells.

To examine whether expression of endogenous mouse APP influences NSPC proliferation and to rule out the possibility that the increase in proliferation observed in Tg2576 cultures was due to a factor unrelated to APP overexpression, we also examined the proliferation rate of NSPCs from APP-KO mice. We found that NSPC proliferation was decreased in APP-KO cultures when compared with the corresponding background strain (C57Bl/6) cultures (Fig. 3). The growth rate of the APP-KO cells, as assessed by an alamarBlue assay, was 60% of that of the cells derived from background strain mice (Fig. 3A).

Furthermore, neurospheres from APP-KO mice were smaller and less numerous than the C57Bl/6 neurospheres (Fig. 3, B and C). In addition, the percentage of proliferating EdU-positive cells was also significantly decreased. These experiments clearly demonstrated that endogenous APP was also involved in the regulation of NSPC proliferation.

We also examined the capacity of APP-KO NSPCs to differentiate using the same procedure as described previously for the Tg2576 cells. The APP-KO cells were compared with the corresponding C57Bl/6 background strain cells. The total number of βIII-tubulin-positive cells was lower in the APP-KO cultures than in the background strain cultures (Fig. 4).
We next examined whether the effect of APP on proliferation was mediated by a factor that was secreted into the culture medium. Conditioned medium was prepared over 7 days from Tg2576 and the corresponding background strain (C57Bl/6xSJL) neurosphere cultures. In parallel, dissociated C57Bl/6xSJL NSPCs were plated and incubated for 16 h. Conditioned medium from the neurosphere cultures or unconditioned proliferation medium was then added to the dissociated cell cultures. The amount of proliferation was measured after 5 days of incubation. There was a higher rate of proliferation in cultures containing conditioned medium (whether from C57Bl/6xSJL or Tg2576 cells) than in cultures containing unconditioned medium (Fig. 5A). Importantly, the conditioned medium from Tg2576 cell cultures stimulated cell proliferation more than the conditioned medium from the C57Bl/6xSJL cultures, supporting the view that the increased proliferation in the Tg2576 cultures was due to the secretion of a factor into the conditioned medium.

To test this hypothesis further, we examined the effect of conditioned medium from APP-KO cell cultures on NSPC proliferation. This time, isolated NSPCs grown adherently were incubated with unconditioned medium (control), conditioned medium from APP-KO neurosphere cultures, or conditioned medium from the corresponding background strain C57Bl/6 neurosphere cultures (Fig. 5B). In contrast to the results with Tg2576 cultures, the APP-KO conditioned medium was significantly less potent in stimulating proliferation than the background strain conditioned medium. This result again supported the view that there was a factor secreted into the medium of APP-expressing cells that increased cell proliferation.

As it has been reported that sAPPα can stimulate neural stem cell proliferation or differentiation (9, 27, 28), we examined the effect of recombinant human sAPPα on proliferation in our cultures. However, despite repeated experiments aimed at determining whether sAPPα can stimulate NSPC proliferation, we were unable to demonstrate any effect of sAPPα over a range of different concentrations (50–2000 ng/ml) (Fig. 6A). Despite suggestions that Aβ amyloid may stimulate stem cell proliferation (26), we were also unable to find any effect of Aβ1–40 or Aβ1–42 on proliferation (Fig. 6A).

To rule out the possibility that the lack of effect of recombinant sAPPα might be due to the fact that the protein was not in a native conformation, we also tested the effect of removing...
Role of APP in Neural Stem/Progenitor Cell Proliferation

endogenous sAPPα from the conditioned medium (Fig. 6B). More than 98% of the endogenously expressed sAPPα in the conditioned medium was removed by immunoabsorption with mAb 6E10 when compared with immunoabsorption with an immunoglobulin (Ig) control (Fig. 6B, inset). However, despite removal of most of the sAPPα, there was no decrease in the ability of the conditioned medium to stimulate proliferation. On the basis of these experiments, we concluded that neither sAPPα nor Aβ (both of which are fragments of full-length APP that can be released into the culture medium) was the secreted factor that mediated the effect of APP overexpression on NSPC proliferation.

As several studies have suggested that cystatin C is an important autocrine regulator of neural stem cell proliferation (32, 33), we examined the possibility that cystatin C was the mediator of APP-induced NSPC proliferation. Analysis of the conditioned medium from both Tg2576 cultures and APP-KO cultures by Western blotting showed that cystatin C levels correlated with the effect on proliferation (Fig. 7). In addition to a major 14-kDa cystatin C band, an additional 16-kDa immunoreactive band was also present in the conditioned medium. This higher molecular mass band may represent a post-translationally modified form of cystatin C (32). Consistent with the view that the effect on proliferation was due to cystatin C, we found that cystatin C immunoreactivity was elevated in the Tg2576 conditioned medium (Fig. 7A), and lower in the APP-KO cell conditioned medium (Fig. 7B).

To determine whether the changes in secreted extracellular cystatin C reflected changes in the intracellular pools, we also examined the level of cell-associated cystatin C (Fig. 7, C and D). Surprisingly, the level of cystatin C in the cell lysates was lower in both Tg2576 cultures and APP-KO cultures when compared with the corresponding background strain cells for each group. We also analyzed the level of cystatin C mRNA by real-time PCR (Fig. 7, E and F). These experiments showed that although the level of cystatin C mRNA expression was lower in the APP-KO cells, there was no significant difference in expression in the Tg2576 cells.

Similar to previous studies (32, 33), cystatin C increased NSPC proliferation in a concentration-dependent manner (50–100 ng/ml cystatin C) (Fig. 8A). As the level of cystatin C in the conditioned medium of background strain cells was higher than this concentration range (Fig. 8B, panel i), this indicated that the concentration of cystatin C in the conditioned medium in both wild-type and background strain cells was sufficiently high to influence NSPC proliferation. Therefore, we tested whether the endogenous secreted factor in the conditioned medium was identical to cystatin C. We immunoprecipitated cystatin C from the conditioned medium of both C57Bl/6xSJL and Tg2576 cultures (Fig. 8B, panel ii) and measured the effect of the immunodepleted medium on cell proliferation. Immuno-depletion of cystatin C from the conditioned medium completely removed the APP-associated increase in NSPC proliferation (Fig. 8C). Proliferation was not significantly decreased when background strain NSPC conditioned medium was immunodepleted of cystatin C. However, after immunodepletion of cystatin C from the Tg2576 conditioned medium, the level of NSPC proliferation was significantly lower than the corresponding incubation in which the conditioned medium was preabsorbed with Ig.

Similar results were obtained in separate experiments using conditioned medium from C57Bl/6 and APP-KO cultures. In these experiments, the immunodepleted conditioned medium was tested on cultures of APP-KO cells (Fig. 8D). Immunodepletion of cystatin C (Fig. 8B, panel iii) resulted in a significant decrease in proliferation of NSPCs when compared with the corresponding incubations in which the conditioned medium was preabsorbed with Ig. Taken together, these results clearly indicated that cystatin C was a major contributor to the ability of APP to increase NSPC proliferation.

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrates that APP expression regulates the proliferation of NSPCs and that this effect is mediated, at least in part, by an APP-stimulated increase in cystatin C secretion. The results increase our understanding of both the normal function of APP and the mechanisms involved in neural stem cell proliferation and differentiation.

Our findings also provide an explanation for previous studies demonstrating that neural stem cell proliferation is increased in transgenic mice that overexpress APP (25, 26). Studies by Jin et al. (25) reported that neural stem cell proliferation was increased in PDGF-APPsw,Ind mice. López-Toledano and Shelanski (26) confirmed and extended this observation in their studies. In both studies, no clear demonstration of the mechanism of increased proliferation was provided, although it was suggested that the stimulation of proliferation may have been due to Aβ accumulation or some aspect of the associated Aβ pathology (25, 26). Our studies clearly support the view that NSPC proliferation is directly influenced by the expression of...
APP, Although we cannot rule out the possibility that a product of APP metabolism may be responsible for this effect, we did not find any direct evidence that this effect was influenced by sAPP\textsubscript{\textalpha}/H9251 or A\textsubscript{\textbeta}/H9252 peptides.

Interestingly, although neural stem cell proliferation is increased in APP-overexpressing mice, there is no obvious phenotype resulting from this increase. For example, prior to the onset of AD-type pathology, the brains of young APP transgenic mice appear relatively normal. Nevertheless, subtle abnormalities may be present in these mice. For example, overexpression of APP in transgenic mice is reported to lead to changes in synaptic density (34), and Rodgers \textit{et al.} (35) report that APP transgenic mice exhibit persistent locomotor hyperactivity. Whether these abnormalities are due to an increase in the number of specific neuronal populations is unclear. In the case of APP knock-out mice, there are clear abnormalities, most notably agenesis of the corpus callosum (36). However, whether this phenotype is due to a neural stem cell proliferation deficit is also unclear.

A surprising finding to emerge out of these studies was that the effect of APP overexpression was not mediated through sAPP\textsubscript{\textalpha}. A large number of studies have suggested that sAPP\textsubscript{\textalpha} has trophic properties on neural stem cells (9, 27, 28, 37, 38). In our studies, recombinant human sAPP\textsubscript{\textalpha} had no effect on proliferation, nor did immunoprecipitation of endogenous secreted mouse sAPP\textsubscript{\textalpha} in conditioned medium from APP knock-out neurosphere cultures (CM-APP-KO), and conditioned medium from C57Bl/6 neurosphere cultures (CM-C57Bl/6) on the growth of wild-type (C57Bl/6) NSPCs. Cell number is represented by the percentage of the fluorescence intensity measured in an alamarBlue assay when compared with control (unconditioned medium). Values are means ± S.E. * = significantly different from unconditioned (control) medium (p < 0.05). ** = significantly different from unconditioned (control) medium and from CM-C57Bl/6 (p < 0.05).

**FIGURE 6. Effect of sAPP\textsubscript{\textalpha} and A\textsubscript{\textbeta} peptides on NSPC proliferation.** Isolated NSPCs were prepared from C57Bl/6xSJL mice and cultured adherently on poly-L-lysine. The figure shows cell number as represented by the percentage of the fluorescence intensity measured in an alamarBlue assay. Values are means ± S.E. A, Effect of recombinant sAPP\textsubscript{\textalpha}, A\textsubscript{\textbeta}1–40, and A\textsubscript{\textbeta}1–42 on NSPC proliferation. B, Immunoprecipitation of >98% of the sAPP\textsubscript{\textalpha} from Tg2576 conditioned medium did not decrease the ability of the conditioned medium to increase NSPC proliferation. The figure shows the effect of Tg2576 conditioned medium after immunoprecipitation with an immunoglobulin fraction (IP: Ig) and after immunoprecipitation with mAb 6E10 (IP: 6E10). The inset shows Western blot (WB) analysis of the conditioned medium from both fractions. * = significantly different from control incubation, but not significantly different from incubation of immunoglobulin fraction.

APP, Although we cannot rule out the possibility that a product of APP metabolism may be responsible for this effect, we did not find any direct evidence that this effect was influenced by sAPP\textalpha or A\textbeta peptides.
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We also found that a greater proportion of Tg2576 cells expressed βIII-tubulin when NSPCs were incubated in a medium that promotes differentiation. This effect on neurogenesis could have been mediated by sAPPα, similar to some previous studies (9, 27, 38), or by cystatin C.

Interestingly, in experiments with APP-KO cells, we found that there was a significant residual effect of APP, even after removal of most of the cystatin C. Cystatin C-immunodepleted C57Bl/6 conditioned medium (Fig. 8D). This suggests the possibility that there is an additional, as yet unidentified, secreted molecule that also contributes to APP-induced NSPC proliferation. This effect was not seen in experiments where we tested the effect of conditioned medium on C57Bl6xSJL cells (Fig. 8C). The reason for this difference is unclear, but it could relate to the fact that the APP-KO cells may be more sensitive to this unidentified factor.

FIGURE 7. Levels of cystatin C and expression of cystatin C mRNA. The figure shows representative Western blots and quantification of cystatin C immunoreactivity by image capture analysis (A–D). Values are means ± S.E. (n = 4), A and C, Western blotting analysis of cystatin C (CysC) in conditioned medium (A) and cell lysate (C) of Tg2576 mouse NSPC cultures and the corresponding background strain (C57Bl/6xSJL) cultures. Each lane represents a sample from a different line of NSPCs. B and D, Western blotting analysis of cystatin C (CysC) in conditioned medium (B) and cell lysate (D) of APP-KO mouse NSPC cultures and the corresponding background strain (C57Bl/6) cultures. Each lane represents a sample from a different line of NSPCs. E and F, cystatin C mRNA expression determined by real-time PCR. Values are means ± S.E. (n = 6). * = significantly different (p < 0.05) from corresponding background strain control cultures (Student’s t test).

FIGURE 8. Role of secreted cystatin C in stimulating NSPC proliferation. The number of viable cells was calculated from the fluorescence intensity in an alamarBlue assay. A, effect of cystatin C on NSPC proliferation. Dissociated neurosphere-derived cells were plated on poly-L-lysine-coated plates and then incubated for 5 days in proliferation medium containing various concentrations of cystatin C. Values are means ± S.E., (n = 4) and shown as the percentage of the control value (no added cystatin C). * = significantly different from the control group (no cystatin C) (p < 0.05, ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test). B, Western blot analysis of cystatin C (CysC) immunoreactivity in cell culture medium. Panel i, comparison of the level of cystatin C in 48 μl of C57Bl/6xSJL cell medium when compared with 100 ng of recombinant cystatin C. Panel ii, Western blot stained for cystatin C showing efficiency of immunodepletion of cystatin C from the C57Bl/6xSJL and Tg2576 conditioned medium. Ig = medium after immunodepletion with control immunoglobulin; CC = medium after immunodepletion with cystatin C antibody. Panel iii, Western blot stained for cystatin C showing efficiency of immunoprecipitation of cystatin C from the C57Bl/6 and APP-KO cell medium. C and D, immunoprecipitation of cystatin C removes the APP-induced growth factor that stimulates proliferation. C, C57Bl/6xSJL neurosphere-derived cells were incubated with unconditioned medium or conditioned medium from C57Bl/6xSJL cultures or Tg2576 cultures that was previously immunoabsorbed with a nonspecific Ig fraction (IP: Ig) or with an anti-cystatin C antibody (IP: CC). Values in panels C and D are means ± S.E. (n = 4) and shown as the percentage of the mean of the values for unconditioned medium. * = significantly different (p < 0.05, ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test).
The mechanism of the APP-stimulated increase in cystatin C and NSPC proliferation is also not yet known. Our experiments suggest that there may be two mechanisms involved. Real-time PCR experiments showed that cystatin C expression was decreased in APP-KO cells when compared with the corresponding background strain cells. As the extracellular domain of APP (i.e. sAPPα) was not found to stimulate proliferation, this suggests that the APP intracellular domain (AICD) may be involved in mediating this effect. Indeed, based on an analogy with the notch intracellular domain (NICD), which is also released by γ-secretase (39), a number of studies suggest that the AICD may regulate gene expression (40). Whether AICD regulates the expression of cystatin C is not yet known and will require further studies.

However, cystatin C expression was not increased in Tg2576 cells when compared with the corresponding background strain cells. Indeed, a surprising finding was that although cystatin C secretion was higher in the Tg2576 conditioned medium (Fig. 7A), levels were lower in the cell lysate (Fig. 7C). This suggests the possibility that the higher levels of cystatin C in the Tg2576 culture medium may be due to an increased rate of cystatin C secretion with a concomitant decrease in intracellular cystatin C. The idea that increased APP may result in increased secretion is consistent with published studies. For example, Lee et al. (41) reported that APP overexpression can increase vesicle exocytosis in PC12 cells. Furthermore, the cytoplasmic domain of APP can interact with proteins associated with synaptic vesicle release such as synaptotagmin-1 (42). Thus, we speculate that the cytoplasmic domain of APP may possess two different functions: 1) it may be translocated to the nucleus to alter gene expression or 2) it may interact with proteins on the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane to alter events such as exocytosis. The balance of these two functions could conceivably be regulated by the level of expression of APP and the degree of saturation of binding to different adaptor proteins.

Finally, our study may have implications for understanding the role of cystatin C in the pathogenesis of AD. It is interesting to note that a polymorphism (G73A) in cystatin C has been linked to AD (43). Furthermore, cystatin C is increased in the Tg2576 culture medium may be due to an increased rate of cystatin C secretion with a concomitant decrease in intracellular cystatin C. The idea that increased APP may result in increased secretion is consistent with published studies. For example, Lee et al. (41) reported that APP overexpression can increase vesicle exocytosis in PC12 cells. Furthermore, the cytoplasmic domain of APP can interact with proteins associated with synaptic vesicle release such as synaptotagmin-1 (42). Thus, we speculate that the cytoplasmic domain of APP may possess two different functions: 1) it may be translocated to the nucleus to alter gene expression or 2) it may interact with proteins on the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane to alter events such as exocytosis. The balance of these two functions could conceivably be regulated by the level of expression of APP and the degree of saturation of binding to different adaptor proteins.

Finally, our study may have implications for understanding the role of cystatin C in the pathogenesis of AD. It is interesting to note that a polymorphism (G73A) in cystatin C has been linked to AD (43). Furthermore, cystatin C is increased in regions around Aβ deposits in the AD brain (44, 45), suggesting that it may play a role in pathogenesis or in response to Aβ pathology. Indeed, two groups, Mi et al. (46) and Kaeser et al. (47), found that cystatin C may have a protective effect as APP transgenic mice that had higher cystatin C expression were found to have diminished Aβ deposition. As APP is also increased in dystrophic neurites around amyloid plaques, it is tempting to speculate that increased cystatin C may be due to an increase in local APP expression. Further studies on the role of APP in regulating cystatin C expression in the AD brain may help to identify new targets for drug development in AD.
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