Scattering characteristics of Lamb waves from debondings at structural features in composite laminates
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This article investigates the scattering characteristics of Lamb waves from a debonding at a structural feature in a composite laminate. This study specifically focuses on the use of the low frequency fundamental antisymmetric ($A_0$) Lamb wave as the incident wave for debonding detection. Three-dimensional finite element (FE) simulations and experimental measurements are used to investigate the scattering phenomena. Good agreement is obtained between the FE simulations and experimental results. Detailed parameter studies are carried out to further investigate the relationship between the scattering amplitudes and debonding sizes. The results show that the amplitude of the scattered $A_0$ Lamb wave is sensitive to the debonding size, which indicates the potential of using the low frequency $A_0$ Lamb wave as the interrogating wave for debonding detection and monitoring. The findings of the study provide improved physical insights into the scattering phenomena, which are important to further advance damage detection techniques and optimize transducer networks. © 2012 Acoustical Society of America. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.4728192]
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I. INTRODUCTION

Structural safety is of paramount importance in many engineering fields, including but not limited to civil, mechanical, aerospace, and maritime engineering. The safety and integrity of complex structures relies on the performance of individual components, as well as their interactions when they are jointed together. The development and use of effective and reliable damage detection techniques improves structural safety while minimizing maintenance costs. Therefore, in the last few decades a variety of damage detection techniques\textsuperscript{1–5} have been developed to provide early detection or characterization of defects in structures.

During this period, it has been shown that Lamb waves have the potential to be a promising method for detecting defects.\textsuperscript{6,7} They enable large area inspection, are sensitive to small and different types of defects, and can be used to detect subsurface damages. Their versatility has attracted researchers’ attention, and studies have been carried out to investigate Lamb wave scattering characteristics at different types of defects, such as cracks,\textsuperscript{8} holes,\textsuperscript{9} and areas of corrosion.\textsuperscript{10} The findings of these studies have provided insight into the scattering phenomena of Lamb waves at defects, which is essential to advance the development and optimization of Lamb wave damage detection techniques and future structural health monitoring systems. The use of Lamb waves to detect defects in simple structures, such as flat isotropic plates, is well developed and a variety of methods\textsuperscript{11–15} has been successfully explored. However, damage detection in complex structures, such as composite laminates and structures with joints, is still a challenging issue.

A. Lamb waves in complex structures

Real structures always contain features, such as joints that connect structural components or reinforcements, in order to enhance the structure’s performance. Different studies\textsuperscript{16–19} have been carried out to investigate the possibility of using Lamb waves to detect defects in complex structures made from isotropic materials. Numerical simulations using finite element (FE) method and experimental measurements have been investigated in the literature. Dalton \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{20} investigated the performance of Lamb waves as a tool with which to monitor the behavior and integrity of complex metallic aircraft fuselage components. Different types of structural features, such as double skin, lap, and stringer joints, were considered in their study. They concluded that propagating Lamb waves through the entire fuselage was not feasible due to the existence of multiple structural features. Hence, localized monitoring of structurally significant areas was suggested as a practical approach.

Lanza di Scalea \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{21} studied Lamb wave interaction at debonding regions and regions with poorly cured adhesives at bonded lap joints between thin aluminum plates. They showed that the amplitude decrease of selected plate waves leaking from one adhered plate to another through the bondline could be used to detect debonding. Greve \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{22} performed numerical and experimental studies to investigate Lamb waves reflected from cracks at welded joints between
steel plates. They showed that a crack could be detected based on the amplitude of the reflected waves.

Schubert\textsuperscript{23} presented a survey of using elastodynamic finite integration technique (EFIT) to simulate elastic wave propagation in homogeneous and heterogeneous materials. A number of examples were presented in the survey that shows the feasibility of using EFIT to simulate complicated wave scattering phenomena. Recently, Leckey \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24} implemented the three-dimensional (3D) EFIT to investigate the Lamb wave scattering at a flat-bottom hole and a void in diffusion bonded aluminum plate. They showed that the 3D simulation can provide additional insight into complicated Lamb wave scattering behavior.

\section*{B. Complex structures made by composite laminates}

Fiber-reinforced polymer composite laminates are increasingly employed in different industries due to their light weight, corrosion resistance, and high specific stiffness characteristics. However, defects in composite laminates are critical because of the large number of failure modes, such as delamination, fiber breaking, and matrix or interface fracture. Different studies\textsuperscript{25,26} have reported on the scattering characteristics of Lamb waves at defects in laminates and the development of inspection techniques for simple composite structures.\textsuperscript{27–30} The research has shown that the propagation and scattering characteristics of Lamb waves at defects in composite laminates are much more complicated than in metallic materials due to the anisotropic nature and multilayer characteristic of the material.

A limited amount of research has investigated the performance and sensitivity of Lamb waves applied to debondings in complex structures constructed of composite laminates. Takeda \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{31} proposed the use of small diameter fiber Bragg grating sensors to measure Lamb waves for damage detection. They showed that the arrival time of Lamb waves transmitted from one side to the other side of a debonding can be used for damage detection. A specimen with a debonding in a double-lap joint was used in the experimental study.

Matt \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{32} studied the strength of transmission of Lamb waves propagating across the skin-to-spár joint to monitor the debonding. A two-dimensional (2D) semi-analytical FE model along with an experimental study were used to confirm the possibility of detecting debonding based on the strength of the transmitted Lamb waves. Lanza di Scalea \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{33} then extended the study, in which the spar was simplified as a multilayer laminate and macrofiber composite transducers were used to actuate and sense the guided waves.

Lissenden \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{34} carried out a study using Lamb waves to detect a debonding at a skin–stringer joint. Numerical and experimental testing was carried out in the study, which employed a simplified 2D composite skin–stringer joint model in which the stringer was modeled as a multilayer laminate.

Damaged composite laminates are regularly repaired by bonding composite patches to restore structural integrity. There are a very limited number of studies focused on this type of structural feature in the literature. Koh \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{35} investigated the possibility of using Lamb waves to assess the integrity of composite repair patches. 2D FE simulations and experimental studies showed that the power transmission of Lamb waves can be used to evaluate the integrity of the repair patches.

Soutis and Diamanti\textsuperscript{36} demonstrated the use of Lamb waves to inspect adhesively bonded patch repaired composite laminates. The study specifically focused on the low frequency fundamental anti-symmetric ($A_0$) Lamb wave. 2D FE simulations and experimental verifications were carried out in the study. The researchers showed that the change of the low frequency $A_0$ Lamb wave can be used to detect and locate a debonding between the patch and substrate, and is very sensitive to small changes in the structural integrity of repaired structures.

The aim of this present research is to investigate the scattering characteristics of the $A_0$ Lamb wave from a debonding at a structural feature in a composite laminate. The sensitivity of the low frequency incident $A_0$ Lamb wave to the debonding was assessed quantitatively. Unlike most of the studies in the literature, this research study investigates the 3D characteristics of the $A_0$ Lamb wave scattering from the debonding in composite laminates. The scattering directivity pattern (SDP) is used to investigate the scattered waves in different directions. Therefore, the numerical and experimental investigations considered in this study are not limited to pure forward and backward scattered waves. The findings are important to further advance and optimize Lamb wave damage detection techniques, as well as to design optimal transducer network for future structural health monitoring applications.

The paper first describes the 3D FE simulation of the Lamb waves propagation and scattering from the debonding of a structural feature in Sec. II. The experimental measured data are used to verify the accuracy of the FE simulation in Sec. III. A series of numerical studies using the experimental validated FE model are then carried out to investigate the scattering characteristics of the $A_0$ Lamb wave from the debonding in Sec. IV. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Sec. V.

\section*{II. THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINITE ELEMENT SIMULATIONS}

The $A_0$ Lamb wave propagation and interaction at a debonding in a $[45/-45/0/90]_s$ quasi-isotropic (QI) composite laminate with a structural feature was simulated using a 3D explicit FE method. The 3D FE modeling was performed using commercial FE software ANSYS/LS-DYNA. Eight-noded 3D reduced integration solid brick elements with hourglass control were used in the model, in which each node has three degrees of freedom ($x$, $y$, and $z$ displacements). A schematic diagram of the FE model is shown in Fig. 1.

The length and width of the QI composite laminate model in the $x$ and $y$ directions of the Cartesian coordinate system shown in Fig. 1(b) were 180 and 240 mm, respectively. The $[45/-45/0/90]_s$ QI composite laminate was constructed from 0.2 mm thick Cycom\textsuperscript{970}/T300 unidirectional carbon/epoxy prepreg tape for each lamina. The thickness of the base composite laminate was 1.6 mm. Each lamina was modeled as an orthotropic material. The effective elastic
properties were determined using micromechanics theory, taking the constituent materials into consideration. The fiber volume fraction and density were 0.55 and 1517 kg/m$^3$, respectively. In the composite laminate FE model, each lamina was modeled by a single layer of solid brick elements with the effective elastic properties as shown in Table I.
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**FIG. 1.** (Color online) (a) Schematic diagram of the configuration used in the FE simulations and (b) zoom-in of the cross section through the debonding.

A rectangular debonding between the structural feature and base laminate (between the eighth and ninth lamina) was modeled by separating the FE nodes across the debonding surfaces at the debonding region. A similar modeling approach was used and experimentally validated to model delamination damage. The $A_0$ Lamb wave was excited by applying nodal displacement in the out-of-plane $z$ direction to the surface nodes located at $z = 0.8$ mm simulating a piston type excitation by a 5 mm diameter half-circle transducer as shown in Fig. 1(a). The transducer was located at $x = -90$ mm and $y = 0$ mm. A 140 kHz narrow band six-cycle sinusoidal tone burst pulse modulated by a Hanning window was used as the excitation signal. At this low frequency, only the fundamental symmetric ($S_0$), shear horizontal ($S_{0H}$) and $A_0$ can exist in the composite panel. The wavelength $\lambda$ of the $A_0$ Lamb wave in the composite laminate is 7.93 mm, which is the smallest wavelength among these three fundamental Lamb wave modes at the excitation frequency. Most of the solid brick elements had in-plane square shapes with dimensions 0.4 mm $\times$ 0.4 mm, but the elements near the half-circle transducer had a slight variation in size and shape. This very fine FE mesh ensures that at least 17 FE nodes exist per wavelength of the $A_0$ Lamb wave. As the wavelength of the $A_0$ Lamb wave is much smaller than $S_0$ and $S_{0H}$ at the excitation frequency, the 3D FE model is also able to accurately predict the $S_0$ and $S_{0H}$ Lamb waves, which may be induced due to the mode conversion effect at the debonding.

Two 600 mm $\times$ 600 mm composite laminates with a structural feature were manufactured using Cycom$^\text{®}$ 970/T300 unidirectional carbon/epoxy prepreg tape. The stacking sequence is the same as the base composite laminate. Figure 1(b) shows a sketch of the cross section through the debonding in the $x$-$z$ plane. It is assumed that the structural feature and base laminate are co-cured during the manufacturing process, hence, no additional adhesive layer is included in the model.

- **TABLE I.** Effective material properties of Cycom$^\text{®}$ 970/T300 prepreg lamina.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property (GPa)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_{11}$</td>
<td>128.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{22}$</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{33}$</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{12}$</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{13}$</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{23}$</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{12}$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{13}$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{23}$</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

This section presents an experimental verification of the 3D FE model described in Sec. II. Section III A first presents the details of the experimental setup. A comparison of the experimentally measured data and the FE simulations is then given in Sec. III B.

A. Experimental setup

Two 600 mm $\times$ 600 mm composite laminates with a structural feature were manufactured using Cycom$^\text{®}$ 970/T300 unidirectional carbon/epoxy prepreg tape. The stacking
sequence of the composite laminate was [45/−45/0/90]S, the same as the FE model described in Sec. II. Figure 3 shows the composite laminate specimen with the structural feature, which was a 22 mm × 600 mm [45/−45/0/90]S composite laminate strip attached on the top of the base laminate along the middle of the panel in \( \theta = 90^\circ \) direction.

During the manufacturing process, the base laminate and structural feature were co-cured in an autoclave with metal caul plates at 700 kPa and 180°C following the standard cure cycle for the aerospace material. Hence, no adhesive was used to join the structural feature to the base laminate. A 12 mm × 12 mm and a 22 mm × 22 mm square debonding were generated by inserting fluorinated ethylene propylene separator films between the interface of the structural feature and base laminate (eighth and ninth lamina in Fig. 1(b)) in two composite laminates, respectively.

Ferroperm Pz27 piezoceramic disk actuators of 5 mm diameter and 2 mm thickness were bonded to the surface of the composite laminate. A 3 mm thick brass backing mass with the same diameter was used to increase the strength of the \( A_0 \) Lamb wave and minimize the unwanted \( S_0 \) Lamb wave. The excitation signal was a 140 kHz narrow band six-cycle sinusoidal tone burst pulse modulated by a Hanning window, which is the same as the excitation signal used in the FE simulations in Sec. II. A computer controlled arbitrary waveform generator [Stanford Research DS345 (Sunnyvale, CA)] with a 10 V peak-to-peak output voltage was used to generate the excitation signal. The signal was then amplified by a factor of 10–50 using a power amplifier [Krohn Hite model 7500 (Brockton, MA)].

The scattered Lamb wave was measured by a laser Doppler vibrometer [OFV 303/OFV 3001, Polytech GmbH (Waldropp, Germany)]. The laser head was positioned by a computer controlled positioning system [Newport ESP 300 (Irvine, CA)]. The laser head was perpendicular to the surface of the panel to ensure that only the out-of-plane displacement component was measured. As the out-of-plane displacement of the \( S_0 \) mode is much smaller than the \( A_0 \) mode Lamb wave, the unwanted \( S_0 \) Lamb waves, which may be excited by the transducer or created by mode conversion at the structural feature, were minimized to have a negligible magnitude in the measurements. Thin reflective tapes were surface mounted to the measurement locations on the composite laminate to enhance the optical backscatter reflection of the laser beam.

B. Transmitted Lamb waves at debonding

In this section the 3D FE scattering model is validated by comparing the FE simulation results and the experimentally measured data. The specimen described in Sec. III A was used in the validation. The FE simulation of the incident \( A_0 \) Lamb wave propagation was experimentally verified for the base composite laminate with respect to the directivity excitation amplitude, group velocity, and phase velocity.

The focus of this section is on the verification of the interaction of the interrogating wave with the intact and debonded structural feature. As discussed in Sec. II, baseline measurements are essential for extracting the scattered wave fields from the debonding damage. However, the debonding was generated during the manufacturing process, which means the baseline measurements of the intact panel are not available. Comparing the reflected and transmitted waves in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), the transmitted waves are less complex and have larger amplitude changes for the debonded panel. Hence, the experimental verification focuses on the transmitted wave amplitudes.

For each composite laminate specimen, measurements were taken at five different locations (\( r = 40 \text{ mm} \), and \( \theta = 0^\circ, 20^\circ, 40^\circ, 320^\circ \), and \( 340^\circ \)). Figure 4 shows the experimentally measured and FE simulated transmitted waves at \( r = 40 \text{ mm} \) and \( \theta = 0^\circ \) in the panel without and with the
12 mm × 12 mm debonding at the structural feature. The width of the debonding is the same as the width of the structural feature. Solid and dashed lines represent the signal obtained from panel without and with the debonding. For the experimental study, the signal for the panel without the debonding was obtained at another location in the same panel. Good agreement is obtained between the experimental measurements and FE simulations, especially on the arrival time of the transmitted waves, and the amplitude difference and phase change of the transmitted waves of the composite laminate without and with the debonding. Figure 4 shows that the amplitude of the transmitted wave from the panel with the debonding at the structural feature is obviously much higher than that in the intact panel. This is because the debonding, which is across the total width of the structural feature, separates the structural feature and the base laminate. Hence, the incident wave can completely by-pass the structural feature at $\theta = 0^\circ$. This phenomenon significantly differs from the scattering behavior at through holes or delaminations in flat composite laminates, in which the amplitude of the transmitted wave is reduced due to the existence of defects.\textsuperscript{25,26} The experimental measurements have more pronounced mode coupling compared to FE simulations. This is evidenced by small wave packets in the experimental measurements in Fig. 4. It is because the experimental results were measured at the surface of the panel using laser Doppler vibrometer, whereas the FE simulations results were obtained by monitoring the out-of-plane nodal displacement at FE nodes located at the mid-thickness of the panel.

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) provide a quantitative comparison between the experimental measurements and FE simulations for the panel with the 12 mm × 12 mm and the 22 mm × 22 mm debonding, respectively. The calculations for the figures are based on the maximum amplitude of the transmitted wave envelopes for different $\theta$ using the Hilbert transform analysis.\textsuperscript{38,39} The FE simulation results of the transmitted wave amplitudes for the panel without and with the debonding, and the difference between these two amplitudes, are indicated by solid, dashed, and dashed-dotted lines, respectively. The corresponding results from the experimental measurements are represented by circles, crosses, and triangles. They show that there is a reasonable agreement between the FE and experimental results on the transmitted wave amplitude patterns for the panel with and without the debonding. As shown in Fig. 5, the experimental measured wave amplitudes are generally smaller than the FE simulations. In the case of the transmitted wave amplitudes for panel without the debonding (solid lines and circles), it may be caused by the matrix rich area at the interface between the base laminate and the structural feature in the specimens. For the panel with the debonding, the discrepancy between the FE (dashed lines) and experimental (crosses) results may be caused by the inserted fluorinated ethylene propylene separator films, which were not modeled in the FE simulations. However, a very good agreement is obtained between the FE and the experimental results for the difference between the amplitude of the wave transmitted from the panel with and that without the debonding (dashed-dotted lines and triangles). This demonstrates that the FE simulation is able to accurately predict the amplitude change of the transmitted waves due to the debonding.
IV. SCATTERED \( A_0 \) LAMB WAVE AND SCATTERING DIRECTIVITY PATTERN

The accuracy of the 3D FE simulation was demonstrated using the experimental data presented in Sec. III. The validated 3D FE model was used to study the \( A_0 \) Lamb wave scattering characteristics at the debonding. As the focus here is mainly on the \( A_0 \) Lamb wave, two FE simulations with identical FE mesh for the panel with and without the debonding were required in order to isolate the scattered \( A_0 \) Lamb wave from the incident wave and waves reflected from the boundaries of the composite laminate. The \( A_0 \) scattered Lamb wave can be calculated by

\[
u_r^S(t) = \nu_r^D(t) - \nu_r^U(t),
\]

where \( r \) and \( \theta \) are the radial and azimuthal coordinates of the cylindrical coordinate system shown in Fig. 1(a); \( \nu_r^S(t) \) is the out-of-plane displacement components of the extracted scattered Lamb waves at location \( r \) and \( \theta \) and time \( t \); \( \nu_r^D(t) \) and \( \nu_r^U(t) \) are the out-of-plane displacement components of the Lamb waves for the panel with and without the debonding, respectively. It should be noted that the \( A_0 \) Lamb wave is obtained by monitoring the out-of-plane nodal displacement at particular nodes located at the mid-thickness of the laminate. This ensures that only the \( A_0 \) Lamb wave is extracted from the simulated results as the \( S_0 \) and \( SH_0 \) Lamb waves have zero out-of-plane displacement at the mid-thickness of the laminate.

The SDP can then be calculated by determining the maximum amplitude of signal envelop of \( \nu_r^S(t) \), which is obtained using Hilbert transform, at all monitoring nodes, which form a circular measurement with the center located at the centroid of the debonding. In this study, the out-of-plane displacements were taken at 36 monitoring nodes located at \( r = 40 \text{ mm} \) and \( 0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 360^\circ \) with \( 10^\circ \) increments. It should be noted that the SDP is normalized by the maximum amplitude of the incident wave envelope at the debonding center location of the intact laminate.

Using the experimentally verified 3D FE scattering model and the aforementioned approach, the scattered \( A_0 \) Lamb wave and the SDP can then be calculated for debondings of different sizes. The dimension of the debondings was parameterized by \( d_x \) and \( d_y \), which represent the debonding width and length in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively. A convenient way to present the results for different sizes of debondings is to normalize the \( d_x \) and \( d_y \) to the wavelength of the \( A_0 \) incident wave at \( \theta = 0^\circ \), which is consistent with most scattering work, as

\[
D_x = d_x / \lambda, \quad D_y = d_y / \lambda,
\]

where \( D_x \) and \( D_y \) are defined as debonding size to wavelength ratio. These ratios provide the possibility to generalize the results to different damage sizes.

Figures 6(a)–6(c) show the SDPs for the 22 mm \( \times 12 \text{ mm} \), 22 mm \( \times 17 \text{ mm} \), and 22 mm \( \times 22 \text{ mm} \) debonding, respectively, the corresponding debonding size to wavelength ratios are \( D_x = 2.77 \) and \( D_y = 1.51, 2.14, \) and 2.77, respectively. The size of the debonding increases from Figs. 6(a)–6(c), whereas the size in the \( x \) axis remains unchanged. All three figures show that the energy of the scattered wave is mainly concentrated in the forward and backward directions. The forward scattering energy is larger than the backward scattering energy, for a larger \( D_x \). However, the scattering amplitudes around the directions perpendicular to the incident wave have a relatively small magnitude. Figure 6 also shows that the SDP is not symmetric with respect to the \( 0^\circ \) direction for symmetrical rectangular debondings. The reason for this are that the excited \( A_0 \) Lamb wave amplitude is not symmetric with respect to the \( 0^\circ \) direction, and the scattered wave amplitude is influenced by the fiber orientation of the outer laminas of the composite laminate.

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show the SDP for the debonding with \( D_y = 2.77 \) and \( D_x = 1.51 \) and 2.14, respectively. Comparing Figs. 7(a), 7(b), and 6(c), in which the debondings...
have the same value of $D_x$ but with different values of $D_y$, the amplitude of the scattered wave again mainly concentrates on forward and backward directions.

A. Influence of debonding size

It has been demonstrated through the SDP that the scattered wave mainly concentrates on the forward and backward directions. This section explores the influence of the debonding size on the scattering characteristics in detail. Debondings with different $d_y$ are investigated, whereas $d_x$ remains unchanged. Figures 8(a) and 8(b) show the normalized forward and backward amplitudes as a function of $D_y$, respectively, whereas the value of the $D_x$ is unchanged and equal to 2.77. Figure 8(a) shows the normalized forward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 0^\circ$, $30^\circ$, $60^\circ$, $300^\circ$, and $330^\circ$ as a function of $D_y$. For $\theta = 0^\circ$, the amplitudes first rise and then start falling when $D_y$ is $\sim 0.63$. For $D_y$ larger than $\sim 0.88$, the values increase and have the largest amplitude comparing to the other $\theta$ directions at $D_y = 2.77$. For $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $330^\circ$, the normalized amplitudes increase and reach the local maximum at around $D_y = 0.05$. The values then fluctuate around a slightly increasing trend line. For $\theta = 60^\circ$ and $300^\circ$, the normalized amplitudes exhibit a slight variation without increase.

Figure 8(b) shows the normalized backward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 120^\circ$, $150^\circ$, $180^\circ$, $210^\circ$, and $240^\circ$. Overall, the normalized backward scattering amplitudes are smaller than the forward scattering amplitudes, especially at $\theta = 180^\circ$ for larger $D_y$. The normalized backward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 180^\circ$ behave differently for $D_y$ larger by $\sim 0.5$, with amplitudes increasing linearly at a much slower rate.

Figure 9 shows the normalized forward and backward amplitudes as a function of $D_x$. Figure 9 considers the effect of increasing the debonding size in the $x$ direction until the debonding width is the same as the width of the structural feature. As opposed to Fig. 8, the forward and backward scattering amplitude characteristics of Fig. 9 have less fluctuation. Figure 9(a) shows the normalized forward amplitudes at $\theta = 0^\circ$, $30^\circ$, $60^\circ$, $300^\circ$, and $330^\circ$. The normalized forward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 0^\circ$ always have a larger amplitude compared to the amplitudes of the other $\theta$ directions. The amplitude at $\theta = 0^\circ$ has the maximum at $D_x = 2.65$. After that the normalized amplitude drops to 1.97 at $D_x = 2.77$, for which the structural feature is completely debonded in

FIG. 8. (Color online) FE simulation results of normalized (a) forward [$\theta = 0^\circ$ (circles); $\theta = 30^\circ$ (squares); $\theta = 60^\circ$ (crosses); $\theta = 300^\circ$ (rhombuses); $\theta = 330^\circ$ (triangles)] and (b) backward scattering amplitudes [$\theta = 120^\circ$ (crosses); $\theta = 150^\circ$ (squares); $\theta = 180^\circ$ (circles); $\theta = 210^\circ$ (triangles); and $\theta = 330^\circ$ (rhombuses)] as a function of $D_y$ with $D_x = 2.77$.

FIG. 9. (Color online) FE simulation results of normalized (a) forward [$\theta = 0^\circ$ (circles); $\theta = 30^\circ$ (squares); $\theta = 60^\circ$ (crosses); $\theta = 300^\circ$ (rhombuses); $\theta = 330^\circ$ (triangles)] and (b) backward scattering amplitudes [$\theta = 120^\circ$ (crosses); $\theta = 150^\circ$ (squares); $\theta = 180^\circ$ (circles); $\theta = 210^\circ$ (triangles); and $\theta = 330^\circ$ (rhombuses)] as a function of $D_x$ with $D_y = 2.77$. 
the $x$ direction. The normalized forward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $330^\circ$ have very similar values and rise linearly for larger $D_x$. However, the normalized forward scattering amplitudes are much smaller than the amplitudes of $\theta = 0^\circ$. At $\theta = 60^\circ$ and $300^\circ$ the overall trend is a very slow increase.

Figure 9(b) shows the normalized backward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 120^\circ$, $150^\circ$, $180^\circ$, $210^\circ$, and $240^\circ$. The backward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 180^\circ$ and $210^\circ$ have similar characteristics and the overall backward scattering amplitude at $\theta = 180^\circ$ is larger than that at $\theta = 210^\circ$. The amplitudes rise and reach the maximum value at around $D_x = 1.40$. After that the backward amplitudes fall and start increasing at around $D_x = 2.27$ and 2.14 for $\theta = 180^\circ$ and $210^\circ$, respectively. The backward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 120^\circ$, $150^\circ$, and $240^\circ$ exhibit a slight variation, but the overall trend is a slow increase. As shown in Figs. 8 and 9, the scattering amplitudes generally have small magnitudes in oblique directions. In terms of detecting the debonding, these directions are not suitable locations for sensing the scattered waves.

B. Influence of debonding location

The investigations reported in the previous section showed that the Lamb wave scattering characteristics are highly dependent on the size of the debonding. It is obvious that the scattering characteristics also depend on the debonding location. This section investigates the influence of debonding locations on the forward and backward scattering amplitudes. The square debondings with $D_x = D_y = 1.26$ and centroid located at 84 mm $\leq x \leq$ 96 mm and $y = 110$ mm are considered. The size of the debondings is 10 mm $\times$ 10 mm, smaller than the width of the structural feature (22 mm). Figure 10 shows the normalized forward and backward scattering amplitudes as a function of the centroid location of the debonding in the $x$ axis. Figure 10 shows that the normalized scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ have a very similar magnitude to the debondings located at $x = 84$ and 96 mm, located to the left and right ends of the structural feature, respectively. For the debonding located within the structural feature, the scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ fluctuate around a nearly horizontal trend line and the forward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 0^\circ$ always have a larger amplitude than the backward scattering amplitudes at $\theta = 180^\circ$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The characteristics of $A_0$ Lamb wave scattering from a debonding in a composite laminate structural feature were investigated in this study. 3D FE simulations and experimental measurements were used to demonstrate that the 3D FE scattering model is able to accurately predict the $A_0$ Lamb wave scattering from the debonding.

This study shows that the reflected wave from the debonding at the structural feature is much more complicated than that from defects in simple composite laminates. The baseline measurements are essential to extract the scattered waves for damage detection purposes. The transmitted wave characteristics, in which the amplitudes increase because of the debonding, are completely different to the case of the defects in simple composite laminates. Detailed parameter studies have shown that both forward and backward scattering amplitudes are of the same order of magnitude for the small debonding sizes. However, the forward scattering amplitudes dominate for larger debonding sizes. The scattered waves in directions forming a large angle with the incident wave, the scattering amplitudes are always small.

The research study has also shown that the amplitude of the scattered $A_0$ Lamb wave is sensitive to the debonding size and the debonding location within the structural feature. This shows the potential of employing the $A_0$ Lamb wave to identify the size and monitor the growth of the debonding. Potential applications include monitoring debonding at structural joints and repair patches in composite laminates. In addition, the findings are important to further advance damage detection techniques and optimize transducer networks.


