Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) is the primary cause of urinary tract infection (UTI) in the developed world. The major factors associated with virulence of UPEC are fimbrial adhesins, which mediate specific attachment to host receptors and trigger innate host responses. Another group of adhesins is represented by the autotransporter (AT) subgroup of proteins. The genome-sequenced prototype UPEC strain CFT073 contains 11 putative AT-encoding genes. In this study, we have performed a detailed molecular characterization of two closely related AT adhesins from CFT073: UpaB (c0426) and UpaC (c0478). PCR screening revealed that the *upaB* and *upaC* AT-encoding genes are common in *E. coli*. The *upaB* and *upaC* genes were cloned and characterized in a recombinant *E. coli* K-12 strain background. This revealed that they encode proteins located at the cell surface but possess different functional properties: UpaB mediates adherence to several ECM proteins, while UpaC expression is associated with increased biofilm formation. In CFT073, *upaB* is expressed while *upaC* is transcriptionally repressed by the global regulator H-NS. In competitive colonization experiments employing the mouse UTI model, CFT073 significantly outcompeted its *upaB* (but not *upaC*) isogenic mutant strain in the bladder. This attenuated phenotype was also observed in single-challenge experiments, where deletion of the *upaB* gene in CFT073 significantly reduced early colonization of the bladder.

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most frequent human bacterial infections, with an estimated 40 to 50% of women experiencing at least one cystitis episode in their lifetime (19, 34). UTI usually starts as a bladder infection (cystitis) but can develop to acute kidney infection (pyelonephritis), ultimately resulting in scarring and renal failure. UTI is also a major cause of sepsis, which has a mortality rate of 25% and results in more than 36,000 deaths per year in the United States (66). Almost all patients with an indwelling urinary catheter for 30 days or longer develop catheter-associated UTI, which accounts for approximately 40% of all hospital-acquired infections (19).

Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) is the most common etiological agent responsible for UTI, resulting in more than 80% of infections. UPEC strains possess an array of virulence factors, with no factor solely responsible for the ability to cause UTI (49). However, the ability of UPEC to colonize the urinary tract and cause disease involves the expression of adhesins (e.g., type 1 and P fimbriae), toxins (e.g., hemolysin), and iron acquisition systems that utilize siderophores (e.g., enterobactin, salmochelin, aerobactin) (36, 78). Adherence to the urinary tract epithelium enables bacteria to resist the hydrodynamic forces of urine flow, to trigger host and bacterial cell signaling pathways, and to establish infection. Among adhesins, P and type 1 fimbriae correlate strongly with uropathogenesis and mediate binding to specific receptors within the urinary tract (11, 46, 53, 67, 79, 80). Both P and type 1 fimbriae recognize their receptor targets by virtue of organellar tip-located adhesins, PapG and FimH, respectively (31, 38). Recent work employing a rat infection model has also demonstrated that P and type 1 fimbriae can act in synergy to establish an infection that leads to nephron obstruction within the kidneys (42).

In addition to fimbrial adhesins, a number of autotransporter (AT) proteins associated with virulence have been characterized from UPEC. These include the secreted toxin Sat (21, 22), the phase variable outer membrane protein antigen 43 (Ag43) (69), the trimeric AT protein UpaG (72), and the surface-located UpaH (1). AT proteins represent the largest group of bacterial type V secreted proteins and share several common features: an N-terminal signal sequence, a passenger (α) domain that is either anchored to the cell surface or released into the external milieu, and a translocation (β) domain that resides in the outer membrane (27, 32). AT proteins were originally thought to possess structural properties that facilitate their independent transport across the bacterial membrane system and final routing to the cell surface (28). However, this classical view has recently been called into question, as accessory factors, such as the Bam complex (also known as the YaeT or Omp85 complex), as well as periplasmic chaperones, such as SurA, Skp, and DegP, are required for the secretion of some AT proteins (30, 51, 56, 57, 59, 74). In general, AT proteins differ substantially in their passenger domain sequence, which determines the unique functional characteristics of the protein and is often associated with virulence (28).
Eleven putative AT-encoding genes have been identified in the sequenced genome of the prototype UPEC strain CFT073 (1, 50). The biological significance of these AT proteins and their roles in UPEC pathogenesis remain to be fully elucidated. In this study, we have performed a detailed molecular characterization of two of these AIDA-I-type conventional AT proteins, namely, UpaB (c0426) and UpaC (c0478). The upaB and upaC genes were cloned, and expression of UpaC significantly increased biofilm formation in a recombinant strain. Using Western blot analysis, we showed that UpaB (but not UpaC) is expressed by CFT073. UpaC was shown to be transcriptionally repressed by the global regulator H-NS, and mutation of the hns gene relieved this repression. In the mouse UTI model, deletion of upaB (but not upaC) in CFT073 significantly reduced early colonization of the bladder.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions.** The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Cells were routinely grown at 28°C or 37°C on solid or in liquid lysogeny broth (LB) medium (7) supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics, kanamycin (Kan; 50 μg/ml), chloramphenicol (Cam; 25 μg/ml), ampicillin (Amp; 100 μg/ml), spectinomycin (Spec; 50 μg/ml), and zeocin (Zeo; 50 μg/ml). For growth in defined conditions, M9 and M63B1 glucose media (58) were used as indicated. Bacterial cultures for mouse experiments were prepared by overnight growth in LB broth; all strains displayed an equivalent level of type 1 fimbriae expression as assessed by yeast cell agglutination.

**DNA manipulations and genetic techniques.** DNA techniques were performed as described by Sambrook and Russell (58). Isolation of plasmid DNA was carried out using the QIAprep spin miniprep kit (Qiagen). Restriction endonucleases were used according to the manufacturer’s specifications (New England Biolabs). Chromosomal DNA purification was made using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen). Oligonucleotides were purchased from Sigma, Australia, or France. All PCRs requiring proofreading were performed with the Expand high-fidelity polymerase system (Roche) as described by the manufacturer. Amplified products were sequenced to ensure fidelity of the PCR. DNA sequencing was performed using the ABI BigDye version 3.1 kit (ABI) by the Australian Equine Genetics Research Centre, University of Queensland, Brisbane.

Prevalence studies for the upaB and upaC genes used Taq DNA polymerase, as described by the manufacturer (New England Biolabs), with the primers c0426.s-5 (5’-GGGAGGGCAAGGTTCCAGGCG) and c0478.s-3 (5’-GCTGTTATGATTCTTCTTAC) or c0478.s-5 (5’-GGTGCTGGTGGAGATGC) and c0478.s-3 (5’-GGTCCACCTGAGCAAGC). This report as anti-UpaC antisera. For immunoblotting, whole-cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE using NuPAGE Novex 4 to 12% Bis-Tris precast gels with NuPAGE MES (morpholinethanesulfonic acid) running buffer and subsequently transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) microporous membrane filters using the iBlot dry-blotting system as described by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). UpaB or UpaC antisera, respectively, was used as the primary serum, and the secondary antibody was alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG. Sigma Fast BCIP/NBT was used as the substrate in the detection process.

**Biofilm assays.** Biofilm formation on polystyrene surfaces was monitored by using 96-well microtiter plates (IWAKI) essentially as previously described (63). Briefly, cells were grown for 24 h in LB (containing 0.2% arabinose for induction of AT-encoding genes) at 37°C, washed to remove unbound cells, and stained with crystal violet. Quantification of bound cells was performed by the addition of acetone-ethanol (20:80) and the measurement of the dissolved crystal violet at an optical density of 570 nm (OD570). Flow chamber experiments were performed as previously described (35, 62). Briefly, biofilms were allowed to form on glass surfaces in a multichannel flow system that permitted online monitoring of their structural characteristics. Flow cells were inoculated with standardized cultures (OD600 = 0.02) pregrown overnight in M9 medium containing arabinose and kanamycin. Biofilm development was monitored by confocal scanning laser microscopy at 15 h postinoculation. For analysis of flow cell biofilms, z-stacks were analyzed using COMSTAT software program (29). Microaerobioter experiments were performed as previously described (5, 20). Briefly, overnight cultures were grown in M63B1-0.4% glucose medium in the presence and absence of 0.2% arabinose at 37°C. Inoculation was performed by pipetting the removable spatula in a culture
### TABLE 1

**Strain or plasmid** | **Relevant characteristic(s)** | **Reference**
--- | --- | ---
*E. coli* K12 strains |  |  
MS427 | MG1655flu | 52  
OS56 | MG1655flu attB::bla-gfp GFP*, Amp' | 64  
MS427(pBAD) | MS427 pBADMyrHisA, Amp' | 76  
MS427(pUpaC) | pUpaC in MS427, Amp' | This study  
MS427(pUpaB) | pUpaB in MS427, Amp' | This study  
OS56(pBAD) | OS56 pBADMyrHisA | 76  
OS56(pUpaC) | pUpaC in OS56, Amp' Kan' | This study  
OS56(pUpaB) | pUpaB in OS56, Amp' Kan' | This study  
BL21 | F- ompT hsdSB(r_s - m_k) gal dcm | Stratagene  
MS2930 | BL21 pUppTruncated | This study  
S17-1Apr | RP4-2Tc::mu kan::Tn7 Apr; Pir-dependent replication, Mut' Kan' | 65  
S17-1Apr_pSC189 | pSC189 in S17-1Apr; Amp' Kan' | 12  

*E. coli CFT073 strains*  
CFT073 | Wild-type UPEC isolate | 44  
CFT073ara | CFT073 araAB::zeo, Zeo' | This study  
CFT073ara* | CFT073 araAB::cpd, Amp' | 18  
RExUpaB | CFT073ara camRexBADupaB, Zeo' Cam', arabinose inducible UpaB | This study  
RExUpaC | CFT073ara specRexBADupaC, Zeo' Spec', arabinose inducible UpaC | This study  
CFT073upaB | CFT073upaB::zeo, Zeo' | This study  
CFT073upaC | CFT073upaC::kan, Kan' | This study  
CFT073PupaB | CFT073 kanPcPupaB, constitutively expressed UpaB, Kan' | This study  
CFT073PupaC | CFT073 kanPcPupaC, constitutively expressed UpaC, Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ | CFT073lacZ::cam, Cam' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo, Cam' Zeo' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan pBR322 | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan pBR322, Cam' Zeo' Kan' Amp' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan pH-NS | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan pH-NS, Cam' Zeo' Kan' Amp' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaC::lacZ-zeo | CFT073lacZ::cam upaC::lacZ-zeo, Cam' Zeo' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaC::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaC::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaC::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan pBR322 | CFT073lacZ::cam upaC::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan pBR322, Cam' Zeo' Kan' Amp' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaC::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan pH-NS | CFT073lacZ::cam upaC::lacZ-zeo c1701::kan pH-NS, Cam' Zeo' Kan' Amp' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c0421::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c0421::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c0421::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c0421::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c1699::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c1699::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c2091::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c2091::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c2091::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c2091::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c2411::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c2411::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c2411::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c2411::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c3218::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c3218::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c3218::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c3218::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c3244::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c3244::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c3244::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c3244::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c3474::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c3474::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c3474::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c3474::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c4864::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c4864::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c4864::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c4864::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c5054::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c5054::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  
CFT073lacZ upaB::lacZ-zeo c5054::kan | CFT073lacZ::cam upaB::lacZ-zeo c5054::kan, Cam' Zeo' Kan' | This study  

Plasmids  
pUpaB (pOMS14) | upaB gene (c0426) from CFT073 in pBAD/Myr-HisA-kan, Amp' Kan' | This study  
pUpaC (pOMS12) | upaC gene (c0478) from CFT073 in pBAD/Myr-HisA-kan, Amp' Kan' | This study  
pBAD30c1701 H-NS | c1701 (H-NS) from CFT073 in pBAD30, Amp' | 37  
pBAD30c1701 H-NS | Ap, Tet' | 8  
pBAD30c1701 H-NS | pBAD30c1701 H-NS from CFT073, Amp' | This study  
pKOBEG | pSC101 ts (replicates at 30°C), AraC, arabinose-inducible Arcl'Boe operon, Cam' | 9  
pepT | pepT II-dependent pep6K, mariner-based transposon TnSCI189, Amp' Kan' | 10
containing 10^8 bacteria/ml for 2 min, followed by reintroduction of the spautla into the microfermentor. The M63B1-0.4% glucose medium flow was set at the constant rate of 0.75 ml/min. After 24 h, the biofilm formed on the spautla was resuspended in 10 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and the optical density at 600 nm was measured for each suspension; these values directly reflect the biofilm biomass. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

ECM protein binding assays. Bacterial binding to extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins was performed in a microtiter plate enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) essentially as previously described (72). Microtiter plates (Maxisorp; Nunc) were coated overnight at 4°C with Max-Gel human extracellular matrix (10 μg/ml) or with 2 μg of the following ECM proteins, respectively: collagen (types I to V), fibrinogen, fibrin, laminin, elastin, heparin sulfate, human serum albumin, bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma–Aldrich), or the glycans N-acetyl–α-galactosamine (NaGal), N-acetyl–β-glucosamine (NaGlu), or N-acetylmuramic acid (NaNa). Wells were washed twice with PBS (137 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4) and then blocked with TBS-2% milk for 1 h. After being washed with TBS, 200 μl of washed and standardized (OD490 = 0.1) MS427(pUpaB), MS427(pUpaC), or MS427(pBAD) was added, and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 2 h. After being washed to remove nonadherent bacteria, adherent cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, washed, and incubated for 1 h with anti- E. coli serum (Meridian Life Sciences Inc.; catalog number B65001R) diluted 1:500 in 0.05% TBS-Tween and 0.2% milk, washed, and incubated for 1 h with a secondary anti-rabbit-conjugated horseradish peroxidase antibody (diluted 1:1,000) (Sigma–Aldrich; catalog number A6154). After a final wash, adhered bacteria were detected by adding 150 μl of 0.3 mg/ml ABTS [2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)] (Sigma–Aldrich) in 0.1 M citric acid, pH 4.3, activated with 1 μl/ml 30% hydrogen peroxide, and the absorbance was read at 405 nm. Mean absorbance readings were compared with negative-control readings of MS427(pBAD) using two-sample \( t \) tests within the Minitab V14 software package. \( P \) values of <0.05 were considered significant.

Microscopy and image analysis. UpaB or UpaC antisera was used for immunofluorescence microscopy and immunogold electron microscopy as previously described (68, 72). Microscopic observation of biofilms and image acquisition were performed on a confocal laser-scanning microscope (LSM510 META; Zeiss) equipped with detectors and filters for monitoring of green fluorescent protein (GFP). Vertical cross sections through the biofilms were visualized using the Zeiss LSM Image Examiner. Images were further processed for display by using Photoshop software (Adobe, Mountain View, CA).

β-Galactosidase assays. β-Galactosidase assays were performed essentially as previously described (43). Briefly, strains carrying lacZ fusions were grown on LB plates for 16 h and then inoculated into LB medium. After 16 to 18 h of growth, the culture was diluted in Z buffer (60 mM Na2HPO4, 40 mM NaH2PO4, 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO4, pH 7), 0.004% SDS and chloroform were added, and the samples were vortexed to permeabilize the cells. Samples were incubated at 28°C, and the reaction was initiated by the addition of ONPG (o-nitrophenoxy–β-d-galactopyranoside). Reactions were stopped with the addition of sodium bicarbonate, and the enzymatic reaction was assayed in quadruplicate for each strain by measuring the absorbance at 420 nm. In some cases, β-galactosidase activity was also observed on LB agar plates containing 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl–β-d-galactoside (X-Gal).

DNA curvature prediction and electrophoretic mobility shift assays. The upaC promoter region was analyzed in silico using bend.it, a program that enables the prediction of a curvature propensity plot calculated with DNase I-based parameters ([http://hydra.igeb.trieste.it/dna7](http://hydra.igeb.trieste.it/dna7)) (73). The curvature is calculated as a vector sum of dinucleotide geometries (roll, tilt, and twist angles) and expressed as degrees per helical turn (10.5°/helical turn = 1°/bp). Experimentally tested curved motifs produce curvature values of 5 to 25°/helical turn, whereas straight motifs give values below 5°/helical turn. The upaC 250-bp promoter region was amplified using primers upaC.pro.ext-5+250 and upaC.pro.ext-3–1ATG, and its intrinsic curvature was assessed by comparing its electrophoretic mobility with that of an unbent marker fragment (Promega; 100-bp DNA ladder) on a 0.5% Tris-borate–EDTA (TBE), 7.5% PAGE gel at 4°C for retarded gel electrophoretic mobility.

Gel shift assays were performed essentially as previously described (3). A DNA mixture comprising an equimolar ratio of the PCR-amplified upaC promoter region and TaqI-SspI-digested pBR322 was incubated at room temperature for 15 min with increasing amounts of native purified H-NS protein (a gift from S. Rimsky) in 30 μl of reaction mixture containing 40 mM HEPES (pH 8), 60 mM potassium glutamate, 8 mM magnesium aspartate, 5 mM diethiothreitol, 10% glycerol, 0.1% octylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol, 0.1 mg/ml BSA (H-NS binding buffer). DNA fragments and DNA-protein complexes were resolved by gel electrophoresis (0.5% TBE, 3% MS agarose gel run at 50 V at 4°C) and visualized after staining with ethidium bromide.

Mouse model of UTI. Female C57BL/6 mice (8 to 10 weeks) were purchased from the University of Queensland Animal Facility and housed in sterile cages with ad libitum access to sterile water. The mouse model of UTI described previously was used for this study (54). Briefly, an inoculum of 20 μl containing 5 × 10^8 CFU of bacteria in PBS containing 0.1% India ink was instilled directly into the bladder using a 1-ml tuberculin syringe attached to a catheter. Groups of mice were euthanized at 18 h and 5 days after challenge by cervical dislocation; bladders were then excised aseptically, weighed, and homogenized in PBS. Bladder homogenates were serially diluted in PBS and plated onto LB agar for colony counts. Competitive mixed-infection assays were performed as described above except that mice were inoculated with a 50:50 mixture of CFT073ampa and CFT073upab or CFT073upaC grown in the absence of antibiotics. The two strains were differentiated by their resistance to ampicillin (CFT073ampa and zeocin (CFT073upaC) or kanamycin (CFT073upaC) to enable differential colony counts of the recovered bacteria. The output ratios were normalized to the input ratios to determine the competitive index ([mutant output/wild-type output]/[mutant input/wild-type input]). For competitive infections, significance was determined using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test on log10-transformed fitness indices against a hypothetical median of 0 (log101) using GraphPad Prism 5. For single infections, the median numbers of bacterial CFU were compared using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test within the Minitab V14 software package. \( P \) values of <0.05 were considered significant.

Statistical analysis. The prevalences of the upaB and upaC genes in uropathogenic and nonpathogenic E. coli strains were compared using Fisher’s exact test.

RESULTS

Bioinformatic analysis of the UpaB and UpaC AT proteins from UPEC CFT073. Eleven putative AT-encoding genes have been identified in the sequenced genome of the prototype UPEC strain CFT073 (1, 50). In order to investigate the functionality of UpaB and UpaC, we performed a bioinformatic analysis of these hypothetical proteins. Analysis of the 770-amino-acid UpaB and the 995-amino-acid UpaC sequence using the Conserved Domain Database (CDD) (40, 41) and the Simple Modular Architecture Design Tool (SMART) (39) identified a pertactin-like passenger domain (cl00185) followed by an AT domain (cl002365) in the C-terminal region of both proteins. The predicted AT-domain sequence begins at amino acid 500 for UpaB and amino acid 725 for UpaC. Further analysis with SignalP (6) identified a characteristic signal peptide for both proteins (31 amino acids in length for UpaB and 27 amino acids in length for UpaC). We also detected sequence similarity to the pectin lyase-like superfamily within the passenger (α) domain of UpaB and UpaC using InterProScan (82). The pectin lyase-like superfamily sequence has a predominant secondary structure of right-handed parallel β-helix topology.
Prevalence of the AT-encoding genes in UPEC. The prevalences of the *upaB* and *upaC* genes were assessed by PCR screening of uropathogenic and nonpathogenic *E. coli* strains obtained from both the ECOR collection (48) and our own laboratory collection. The *upaB* and *upaC* genes are common among UPEC strains (58% [21/36] and 47% [17/36], respectively) and nonpathogenic *E. coli* strains (42% [26/62] and 34% [21/62], respectively). There was no significant difference between the presence of the genes in UPEC and nonpathogenic *E. coli*.

Cloning and expression of AT-encoding genes from UPEC CFT073. The *upaB* (c0426) and *upaC* (c0478) genes were PCR amplified and cloned as a transcriptional fusion downstream of the tightly regulated *araBAD* promoter in the pBAD/Myc-HisA expression vector to generate the plasmids pUpaB (pOMS14) and pUpaC (pOMS12), respectively. To demonstrate expression of the UpaB and UpaC proteins, plasmids pUpaB and pUpaC were transformed into the *E. coli* K-12 flu mutant strains MS427(pUpaB) and MS427(pUpaC) or UpaC antisera against cells of *E. coli* strains MS427(pUpaC) or MS427(pBAD). Cells were grown in the presence of 0.2% arabinose. Overnight cultures were fixed and incubated with anti-UpaB or anti-UpaC serum, respectively, followed by incubation with goat anti-rabbit IgG coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 for immunofluorescence or protein A-gold (10 nm) conjugate. Gold particles were present on the surface of *E. coli* MS427(pUpaB) and MS427(pUpaC) but not on that of the MS427(pBAD) control strain.

FIG 1 (A) Western blot analysis of UpaB and UpaC performed using whole-cell lysates prepared from *E. coli* grown in the presence of 0.2% arabinose. (i) OS56(pUpaB), OS56(pBAD); (ii) OS56(pUpaC), OS56(pBAD). Lane M, Novex Sharp molecular weight marker. (B) Phase contrast (i), immunofluorescence (ii), and immunogold electron microscopy (iii) using UpaB-specific antisera against cells of *E. coli* strains MS427(pUpaB) and MS427(pBAD) or UpaC antisera against cells of *E. coli* strains MS427(pUpaC) or MS427(pBAD). Cells were grown in the presence of 0.2% arabinose. Overnight cultures were fixed and incubated with anti-UpaB or anti-UpaC serum, respectively, followed by incubation with goat anti-rabbit IgG coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 for immunofluorescence or protein A-gold (10 nm) conjugate. Gold particles were present on the surface of *E. coli* MS427(pUpaB) and MS427(pUpaC) but not on that of the MS427(pBAD) control strain.
using a UpaC antiserum resulted in the detection of a band of 120 kDa (slightly larger than the predicted 107-kDa molecular mass of UpaC) in whole-cell lysates prepared from *E. coli* OS56 (pUpaC) following induction with arabinose (Fig. 1A). No processing or cleavage of the respective mature passenger and translocation domain from UpaB or UpaC was observed in whole-cell lysates or following brief heat treatment of the cells at 60°C for 3 min (data not shown).

UpaB and UpaC are located at the bacterial cell surface. To examine if the UpaB and UpaC AT proteins were localized to the outer membrane, immunofluorescence microscopy was performed (Fig. 1B). UpaB and UpaC antiserum readily reacted with *E. coli* MS427(pUpaB) and MS427(pUpaC), respectively, following induction with arabinose during growth in LB broth. Thus, UpaB and UpaC were effectively translocated to the cell surface. No reaction was seen with *E. coli* MS427(pBAD) cells. Surface localization of UpaB and UpaC was confirmed using immunogold labeling and electron microscopy (Fig. 1B). *E. coli* MS427(pUpaB) and MS427(pUpaC) cells clearly displayed surface labeling with gold particles following incubation with UpaB or UpaC antiserum compared to *E. coli* MS427(pBAD) cells. Thus, the *upaB* and *upaC* genes are functional and encode proteins located at the cell surface in *E. coli*.

**Phenotypic properties of UpaB and UpaC.** AT proteins are frequently associated with aggregation, cell adherence, adhesion to ECM proteins, and biofilm formation. The overexpression of UpaB and UpaC in *E. coli* OS56 did not result in aggregation or adherence to T24 epithelial cells, HeLa cells, or shed human uroepithelial cells. However, when we assessed the ability to form a biofilm in a static, nontreated polystyrene microtiter plate model, UpaC, but not UpaB, promoted biofilm formation after growth in LB medium (*t* test, *P* < 0.01) (Fig. 2A). Next, we tested the ability of the AT proteins to promote biofilm formation in dynamic conditions using the microfermentor and continuous flow chamber model systems, the latter allowing us to monitor bacterial distribution within an evolving biofilm at the single-cell level due to the

---

**FIG 2** Biofilm formation by *E. coli* OS56 cells harboring plasmids expressing UpaB and UpaC. The effect of AT expression on biofilm formation was assessed in *E. coli* OS56 (MG1655Δhu, Gfp+) cells containing the following plasmids pBAD, pUpaB, or pUpaC. All strains were grown in the presence of 0.2% arabinose to induce AT protein expression. Three assays were used: (A) static biofilm formation in polystyrene microtiter plates, (B) dynamic biofilm formation in a microfermentor system, and (C) dynamic biofilm formation using a flow chamber model. In the dynamic-flow-chamber biofilm development was monitored by confocal scanning laser microscopy after 15 h. The images are representative horizontal sections collected within each biofilm and vertical sections (to the right of and above each larger panel, representing the yz plane and the xz plane, respectively) at the positions indicated by the red and green lines. *E. coli* MS427(pUpaC) produced a biofilm with a significant increase in total biovolume, substratum coverage and mean thickness compared to the vector control strain at 15 h postinoculation (COMSTAT). In the microfermentor assay, UpaC also promoted significant biofilm growth compared to the vector control strain (unpaired *t* test with Welch’s correction *P* < 0.05).
A combination of gfp-tagged cells and confocal laser-scanning microscopy. UpaC promoted significant biofilm growth in both the flow cell and microfermentor assays (unpaired t test with Welch’s correction, \( P < 0.05 \)) (Fig. 2B and C). Thus, UpaC represents a UPEC AT protein that promotes biofilm growth.

Next, we used an ELISA-based method to test the abilities of UpaB and UpaC to mediate adherence to MaxGel, a commercially available mixture of ECM components, including collagens, laminin, fibronectin, tenascin, elastin, a number of proteoglycans, and glycosaminoglycans. UpaB (but not UpaC) promoted significant binding to MaxGel (Fig. 3), and this prompted us to examine binding in more detail by testing a range of different ECM proteins. UpaB promoted binding to fibronectin, fibrinogen, and laminin but not to BSA (negative control) (Fig. 3) or to collagen type I, type II, type III, type IV, and type V, elastin, heparin sulfate, human serum album, or the glycans N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (NaGlu), N-acetyl-D-galactosamine (NaGal), and N-acetylneuraminic acid (NaNA) (data not shown). Therefore, UpaB represents a UPEC AT protein that promotes specific binding to some ECM proteins.

The UpaB protein is expressed by CFT073. To determine whether the UpaB and UpaC proteins are expressed by E. coli CFT073, we constructed isogenic deletion mutants of upaB and upaC, respectively, using \( \lambda \)-red-mediated homologous recombination of linear DNA (these mutants are referred to as CFT073::upaB and CFT073::upaC, respectively. Examination of whole-cell lysates prepared from these isogenic strains following growth in LB broth by Western blotting showed expression of UpaB (but not UpaC) in E. coli CFT073 (Fig. 4A). This was, however, not sufficient to allow detection of UpaB at the cell surface of CFT073 by immunofluorescence (Fig. 5A). Nevertheless, complementation of CFT073::upaB with plasmid pUpaB restored the ability of this strain to produce detectable levels of UpaB at the cell surface (Fig. 5A). Western blot analysis did not show expression of UpaC from CFT073 (Fig. 4B). Accordingly, UpaC could not be detected at the cell surface of CFT073 by immunofluorescence (Fig. 5B). This was not caused by a defect in the stability of the protein, as complementation of CFT073::upaC with pUpaC resulted in detection of UpaC (Fig. 5B). In addition, we detected surface localization of both UpaB and UpaC in UpaB/UpaC-overexpression strains constructed by chromosomal insertion of the inducible RexBAD expression cassette and a \( \lambda_{\text{Pr}} \) constitutive promoter (13, 55) in front of each open reading frame, respectively (CFT073RexBADupaB and CFT073RexBADupaC, CFT073::ClUpaB and CFT073::ClUpaC) (Fig. 5).

The H-NS protein is a repressor of UpaC. To investigate the genetic basis of repression of upaC and compare this to upaB, we inserted a lacZ reporter as a transcriptional fusion to the promoters of upaB and upaC on the chromosome of CFT073::lacI-Z to generate the CFT073::lacI-Z upaB::lacZ-zeo and CFT073::lacI-Z upaC::lacZ-zeo strains. When grown on X-Gal plates, all CFT073::lacI-Z upaB::lacZ-zeo colonies were blue (indicating transcription) and all CFT073::lacI-Z upaC::lacZ-zeo colonies were very faint blue (indicating very low levels of transcription). No color heterogeneity was observed among the CFT073::lacI-Z upaB::lacZ-zeo and CFT073::lacI-Z upaC::lacZ-zeo colonies, indicating that expression of UpaB and UpaC is not subjected to phase variation.

Subsequently, we created specific gene deletions by \( \lambda \)-mediated homologous recombination in a panel of defined/putative E. coli CFT073 regulatory genes: c0421 (virF like), c1699 (rpoS), c1701 (hns), c2091 (virF like), c2411 (hns like), c3218 (stpa), c3244 (luxA1-2), c3744 (virF like), c4864 (cppx periplasmic stress), and c5054 (soxR oxidative stress) in CFT073::lacI-Z upaB::lacZ-zeo and CFT073::lacI-Z upaC::lacZ-zeo strains. Each of these mutants was then assayed for \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity to assess the effect of these regulators on transcription from the upaB or upaC promoter (data not shown). No regulators of upaB were identified (data not shown). However, H-NS was identified as a repressor of upaC; the CFT073::lacI-Z upaC::lacZ-zeo hns mutant exhibited a 20-fold increase in \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity as compared to that of the CFT073::lacI-Z upaC::lacZ-zeo mutant (Fig. 4C). An independent experiment employing random mariner transposon mutagenesis resulted in the generation of six blue colonies, all of which possessed significantly increased \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity due to the inactivation of hns and thus confirmed these observations (data not shown). We also complemented the CFT073::lacI-Z upaC::lacZ-zeo hns mutant with an hns-containing plasmid (pHNS), and this resulted in a significant reduction in the expression of upaC (Fig. 4C). Thus, UpaC is repressed by H-NS.

The H-NS protein represses upaC transcription in CFT073. To confirm the above-mentioned results, an hns isogenic mutant was constructed in CFT073 and examined via Western blot analysis. Loss of H-NS from CFT073 resulted in the appearance of a 20-fold increase in \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity compared to that of the CFT073::lacI-Z upaC::lacZ-zeo mutant (Fig. 4C). An independent experiment employing random mariner transposon mutagenesis resulted in the generation of six blue colonies, all of which possessed significantly increased \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity due to the inactivation of hns and thus confirmed these observations (data not shown). We also complemented the CFT073::lacI-Z upaC::lacZ-zeo hns mutant with an hns-containing plasmid (pHNS), and this resulted in a significant reduction in the expression of upaC (Fig. 4C). Thus, UpaC is repressed by H-NS.

H-NS binds to the promoter region of upaC. H-NS binds to intrinsically curved regions of DNA. An in silico-generated curvature-propensity plot calculated with DNase I-based parameters suggested that the promoter region of upaC may adopt a curved conformation (Fig. 4D). To experimentally demonstrate this curvature, a 250-bp PCR product containing the predicted upaC promoter region was generated and examined by polycrylamide gel electrophoresis at 4°C. This method has been used previously to demonstrate DNA curvature (71, 81). Indeed, the 250-bp upaC promoter region displayed a slightly retarded gel.
elecphoretic mobility compared to that of noncurved DNA standards (Fig. 4E). In order to investigate whether H-NS influences gene expression by direct binding to the curved promoter region of \textit{upaC}, we performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays. The 250-bp PCR product was mixed with TaqI-SspI-digested pBR322 DNA (which contains the \textit{bla} promoter and has been previously shown to be bound by H-NS) and was incubated with increasing concentrations of purified H-NS protein and subsequently visualized by gel electrophoresis. The 250-bp \textit{upaC} promoter region and the fragment containing the \textit{bla} promoter were retarded in mobility by the addition of 0.5 \(\mu\)M H-NS (Fig. 4F). The pBR322 fragments not containing the \textit{bla} promoter were not influenced by H-NS at these concentrations, indicating that H-NS binds with specificity. These results suggest that H-NS binds to the regulatory region of \textit{upaC} by recognizing a DNA region within 250 bp 5' of the ATG translation start codon.

Deletion of \textit{upaB} from CFT073 reduces colonization of the mouse bladder in a mixed-infection competition assay. To assess the role of UpaB and UpaC on virulence, we examined the ability of CFT073, CFT073\textit{upaB}, and CFT073\textit{upaC} to survive in competitive colonization experiments. The strains had identical growth rates in LB broth and did not display any difference with respect to type 1 fimbriae production (as assessed by yeast cell agglutination and an \textit{fim} switch orientation PCR) (data not shown). Mice were coinoculated with CFT073 and CFT073\textit{upaB} or CFT073 and CFT073\textit{upaC} strains in a 1:1 ratio. In this assay, CFT073\textit{upaB} was significantly outcompeted by CFT073 in the bladder of infected mice (\(P = 0.014\)) (Fig. 6A). No difference in colonization was observed between CFT073 and CFT073\textit{upaC} (Fig. 6A).

Deletion of \textit{upaB} from CFT073 reduces colonization of the mouse bladder in single-infection experiments. To further assess the role of UpaB on virulence, we examined the ability of
CFT073 and CFT073upaB to survive in the mouse urinary tract in single-infection experiments employing a short (1-day)- and long (5-day)-term protocol. We observed a significant reduction in colonization of the bladder by the CFT073upaB mutant \((P < 0.001; \text{Fig. 6B})\) at day 1 postinfection. However, by day 5 postinfection, equivalent bacterial loads were recovered for CFT073 and CFT073upaB (Fig. 6C). No significant colonization of the kidneys was observed for either strain; this is consistent with previous data from our laboratory using C57BL/6 mice (68, 72).

**DISCUSSION**

UPEC strains produce a range of fimbrial and nonfimbrial adhesins that play a role in virulence and contribute to persistent infection of the urinary tract. Some UPEC fimbrial adhesins, including type 1 and P fimbriae, have been well characterized with respect to their expression, regulation, receptor-binding target, and role in virulence. Of the nonfimbrial adhesins, the AT family of proteins represents another group of virulence factors that contribute to adhesion, invasion, and biofilm formation. Although UPEC strains possess multiple AT-encoding genes, very little is known about the function of their products and only Ag43, UpaG, Sat, and UpaH have been associated with virulence (1, 21, 22, 69, 72). Here, we characterize the UpaB and UpaC AT proteins from CFT073; we demonstrate that UpaB mediates binding to ECM proteins and contributes to early colonization of the mouse bladder, while UpaC contributes to *in vitro* biofilm formation.

Eleven putative AT-encoding genes have been identified in the sequenced genome of the prototype UPEC strain CFT073 (1, 50). In this study, we cloned and characterized the *upaB* and *upaC* AIDA-I-type AT-encoding genes from CFT073. The *upaB* gene is present in all available UPEC genomes but absent or disrupted in all diarrheagenic *E. coli* genomes (77), suggesting that it may contribute to UPEC virulence. The *upaC* gene is disrupted in the commensal *E. coli* strains MG1655, DH1, and HS. While there was no significant difference in the prevalence of the *upaB* and *upaC* genes in UPEC and nonpathogenic *E. coli*, our data suggest that their presence is associated with gene acquisition or loss mediated by mobile genetic elements.

UpaB and UpaC both contain a predicted signal sequence, a pertactin-like domain, and an AT-\(\beta\)-domain that is common to all AT proteins. The passenger domain possesses sequence similarity to the pectin lyase-like superfamily and contains an extensive \(\beta\)-sheet secondary structure as predicted by the fold recognition program ITASSER (83). It is therefore likely that the passenger domain of UpaB and UpaC comprises a \(\beta\)-helix structure akin to that proposed for the majority of other AT proteins (33).

Several studies have demonstrated that the intimate cell-cell...
contact required for AT adhesion interaction can be physically blocked by the expression of larger surface structures, such as fimbriae, flagella, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and the capsule (4, 26, 60, 61, 64, 68, 70). Our strategy to study the function of UpaB and UpaC involved the use of two host strains, MG1655\(flu\) and UPEC CFT073. The MG1655\(flu\) strain (and its GFP-positive derivative OS56) are well characterized and represent an ideal background to assess the function of AT adhesins (64). The surface expression of UpaC (but not UpaB) in this background significantly increased biofilm formation, while UpaB (but not UpaC) mediated adherence to several ECM proteins, including fibronectin, fibrinogen, and laminin. The lack of UpaC-mediated binding to laminin was in contrast to the previously reported binding characteristics of a closely related homologue, EhaB from enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC) (75).

Although EhaB and UpaC share 62.1% amino acid sequence identity and are detected with the same polyclonal EhaB antiserum, the molecular basis for this difference in function remains to be elucidated.

Immunodetection of the UpaB and UpaC proteins revealed that while UpaB could be detected in whole-cell extracts of CFT073, the UpaC protein was not detected in whole-cell extracts of CFT073 prepared under the same conditions. The difference between the \(\beta\)-galactosidase activity measured from the upaB\(lacZ\) transcriptional fusion strain and the level of UpaB detected in CFT073 by Western blot analysis may be due to mRNA stability or posttranscriptional factors that affect protein stability. H-NS is a histone-like DNA binding protein that represses multiple virulence factors in UPEC (45), and our results demonstrated that H-NS also acts as a repressor of upaC transcription, most likely through direct binding to its promoter region. H-NS was shown to bind to a region comprising the 250 bp upstream of the upaC open reading frame. Modulating the expression of the hns gene may well be a mechanism evolved by UPEC and other E. coli strains to control the expression of various virulence factors, including adhesins. It is possible that the transcription of upaC is coordinated with other H-NS repressed genes; for example, several cryptic E. coli chaperone-usher fimbrial genes were also recently shown to be repressed by H-NS (37). In contrast to upaC, targeted mutagenesis strategies did not indicate that the transcription of upaB is regulated by H-NS.

While upaC expression was repressed in CFT073 during in vitro growth, analysis of the data deposited in the Array Express database examining the transcriptome of the asymptomatic urinary tract colonizer E. coli 83972 identified upregulation of upaC transcription during biofilm formation in human urine (25), suggesting that UpaC is expressed under conditions similar to those encountered in the urinary tract. Additionally, Hagan et al. recently published the first transcriptome analysis for UPEC collected from the urine of patients with symptomatic UTI and compared this to the transcriptome of the same strains grown in vitro (24).

Analysis of the data deposited in the GEO series database revealed that transcripts for upaB and upaC were detected in some UPEC strains, suggesting that these genes are transcribed during human UTI. We observed a significant difference in bladder colonization between CFT073 and CFT073\(upaB\) in a competitive mixed-infection assay and at day 1 postinfection in a single-infection assay, strongly suggesting that expression of UpaB is associated with early colonization of the mouse bladder. In contrast, we did not observe any difference between CFT073 and CFT073\(upaB\) at day 5 postinfection.

---

**FIG 6** Mouse UTI assays. (A) Competitive mixed-infection experiment employing a 1:1 mixture of E. coli CFT073\(upaB\)/CFT073 and E. coli CFT073\(upaC\)/CFT073. Total CFU were enumerated from the bladders of infected mice on selective medium to differentiate between E. coli CFT073\(upaB\) and E. coli CFT073\(upaC\). Total CFU were also enumerated from the bladders of mice infected with CFT073\(upaB\) or CFT073\(upaC\). Each symbol represents the Log\(_{10}\) fitness index calculated for each individual mouse, and the median is represented by a horizontal line. A Log\(_{10}\) fitness index below 0 (shown by the dashed line) indicates that the upaB mutant is at a competitive disadvantage (\(P = 0.014\)). (B and C) Single-infection assay employing E. coli CFT073 and CFT073\(upaB\). Data for individual mice are expressed as the Log\(_{10}\) of total CFU per 0.1 g of bladder tissue at day 1 (B) and day 5 (C) postinfection. The number of mice used for each experiment (n) is indicated. The data represent a compilation of the results from two individual experiments. Medians are indicated by a solid line. E. coli CFT073 was recovered from the bladder of infected mice at day 1 postinfection in significantly higher numbers than CFT073\(upaB\) (\(P < 0.001\)). No statistical difference in the number of E. coli CFT073 and CFT073\(upaB\) was observed at day 5 postinfection.
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In the murine UTI model, UPEC colonization of the bladder is associated with the formation of intracellular bacterial communities (IBCs) within superficial urothelial cells (2). IBCs possess biofilm-like properties and are comprised of compact cell clusters encased in a polysaccharide matrix. IBC formation creates a quiescent state that may be associated with long-term persistence in the bladder (17, 47). Two phase-variable cell surface factors associated with biofilm formation, type 1 fimbriae and Ag43, are expressed by UPEC within IBCs (2). We did not observe any evidence of phase-variable expression of UpaB or UpaC. However, given that UpaC promotes biofilm formation and UpaB promoted adherence to several ECM proteins, it is possible that both UpaB and UpaC contribute to this phenotype.

A number of AT proteins have now been shown to contribute to UPEC virulence. Ag43, UpaB, and UpaH contribute to colonization of the mouse bladder, albeit at different stages of infection. The UpaB and UpaG AT proteins mediate adherence to ECM proteins, while UpaG is also associated with adherence to bladder epithelial cells. In addition, the majority of these AT proteins are associated with biofilm formation, with Ag43 also contributing to IBC formation. The expression of these proteins may be coordinated with other larger surface structures, such as the capsule, O antigen, flagella, and fimbriae, to mediate their affect on the ability of UPEC to colonize different niches.
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