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Monitoring of the New Zealand tertiary education system

• Ministry of Education
  – Monitoring the Tertiary Education Strategy
  – Monitoring the impact of government policy on the tertiary education system

• Tertiary Education Commission
  – Tertiary education funding agency

• Ministry of Research, Science and Technology
  – Monitoring the overall research performance of New Zealand
Monitoring of research performance by Ministry of Education

- Counts of research output
- Peer-reviewed research quality
- External research contract income
- Research degree completions
- Commercialisation data
- Staffing trends
- Bibliometric data
Bibliometric databases used by New Zealand government agencies

- **Ministry of Education**
  - Aggregated dataset containing counts of publications and citations
  - Focus on New Zealand tertiary education sector/institutions

- **Ministry of Research Science and Technology**
  - Unit record dataset
  - Focus on publications by all New Zealand authored papers
  - Trends in co-authorship/collaboration
Current use of bibliometrics by Ministry of Education

• Benchmarking performance of New Zealand universities
  – World average
  – Australian universities

• Monitoring the impact of the Performance-Based Research Fund (PBRF)
  – Research quality (60%)
  – Research degree completions (25%)
  – External research income (15%)
  – Allocated $NZ220m in 2007/8
Monitoring the impact of the PBRF

- PBRF introduced 2004 (introduction confirmed in 2002)
  - Uses peer-assessment to measure research quality across three dimensions:
    - Quality of research output
    - Peer esteem
    - Contribution to the research environment
  - Replaced funding distributed based on number of enrolments at bachelor's level or higher
  - Objective of PBRF to raise average quality of research
  - Research quality measured at the individual level, but results published at institutional/subject level
Benchmarking New Zealand university performance (93 narrow subject areas 2003-2007)

Source: Thomson Reuters
Monitoring the impact of the PBRF

• PBRF Quality Evaluation results showed that research quality increased by 14 percent between 2003 and 2006. But:
  – ‘Carry overs’
  – Staff ‘learned’ from previous Evaluation – better presentation of evidence portfolios
  – Changes in number of participating tertiary institutions
• Therefore, difficult to tell if the PBRF is having an effect on research quality
• Leaves bibliometric data as one of the few ways to analyse if the PBRF is having an impact
Monitoring the impact of the PBRF

![Graph showing the share of world publications and citations for the PBRF from 1994-2007. The graph displays a steady increase in both publications and citations over the years, with a significant rise in the latter part of the period. The data is sourced from Thomson Reuters.](image-url)
Monitoring the impact of the PBRF

Source: Thomson Reuters
Future uses of bibliometric data

• Noted the increased use of bibliometric data to measure and potentially fund research performance
• Symposium in September 2008 examined the role of bibliometrics in New Zealand
• Will keep a watching brief on developments in Australia and the UK
Ministry of Education monitoring

- Ministry of Education reports assessing research performance can be found at the Education Counts website:

  www.educationcounts.govt.nz