Antibiotic-Resistant *Escherichia coli* in Wastewaters, Surface Waters, and Oysters from an Urban Riverine System
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The antibiotic resistance (AR) patterns of 462 *Escherichia coli* isolates from wastewater, surface waters, and oysters were determined. Rates of AR and multiple-AR among isolates from surface water sites adjacent to wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) discharge sites were significantly higher (*P* < 0.05) than those among other isolates, whereas the rate of AR among isolates from oysters exposed to WWTP discharges was low (<10%).

The aim of this study was to investigate the rates of antibiotic resistance among *Escherichia coli* isolates from a variety of sources, including wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), surface waters (including those directly influenced by WWTP discharges), and oysters affected by WWTP discharges. This information will contribute to our understanding of antibiotic resistance in the aquatic environment and the potential environmental and public health risks associated with exposure to aquatic bacteria.

This study was conducted on the central east coast of Australia on the Brisbane and Bremer Rivers and Cabbage Tree Creek, which are subtropical city-dominated systems with both estuarine and freshwater regions. Samples from each stage of the treatment process at five regional WWTPs (42 samples) were collected (Table 1), as well as samples from surface waters in the Brisbane River, including waters at sites adjacent to the investigated WWTPs (five sites referred to as point sources [PS]) and waters at sites distant from PS (six sites referred to as nonpoint sources [NPS]) (Fig. 1). Approximately 30 native oysters (*Saccostrea commercialis*) were collected at low tide from a small estuarine creek (Cabbage Tree Creek), approximately 300 m downstream from a WWTP discharge site (Fig. 1).

The isolation of *E. coli* from WWTP and surface water samples was performed using membrane filtration (20). Oysters were washed and scrubbed in 70% ethanol (ChromAR [purity, 99.9%]; Mallinckrodt Chemicals) before shucking. The isolation of *E. coli* from oysters was carried out using a standard food technique (21).

A total of 462 isolates were tested for sensitivity to six antibiotics chosen were ampicillin (10 μg; Oxoid), gentamicin (10 μg; Oxoid), nalidixic acid (30 μg; Oxoid), sulfafurazole (30 μg; Oxoid), gentamicin (10 μg; Oxoid), and tetracycline (30 μg; Oxoid). A one-way analysis of variance followed by a posthoc Tukey honestly significant difference means test was used to determine significant differences (*P* < 0.05) among sources of *E. coli* isolates for each antibiotic and among multiple-antibiotic-resistance (MAR) indices based on zones of inhibition. For MAR patterns, data were converted into a binary code (resistant or nonresistant) and differences (*P* < 0.05) among sources for each of the resistance patterns were evaluated by paired *t* tests for all combinations.

The observed level of antibiotic resistance among all investigated isolates, 59%, was markedly lower than those demonstrated previously in similar studies, typically around 90% (11, 16). It is hard to draw direct comparisons with the results of these studies due to differences in the antibiotics investigated, the levels of fecal pollution and the therapeutic drug practices in the study areas, and the wastewater treatment practices employed.

The highest incidence of bacterial resistance recorded was that for tetracycline (51%), followed by those for cephalothin (41%) and sulfafurazole (32%). The rate of resistance among bacteria in the aquatic environment to tetracycline has previously been reported to range from 1 to 24% (3, 7, 16, 22), indicating that quite high levels were identified in the present study. Results for cephalothin and sulfafurazole were more typical of previously reported findings, with ranges from 8 to 98% and 21 to 100%, respectively (7, 16, 22).

*E. coli* concentrations at PS (average, 1,730 CFU 100 ml\(^{-1}\)) were significantly higher (*P* < 0.05) than those at NPS (average, 22 CFU 100 ml\(^{-1}\)). Additionally, antibiotic resistance among *E. coli* isolates from PS was higher and more frequent than that among isolates from NPS (Table 2). Similarly, Boon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WWTP</th>
<th>Population served</th>
<th>Avg daily dry-weather flow (ml)</th>
<th>Effluent disinfection method</th>
<th>Typical coliform range (CFU 100 ml(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1e(^{-6})–1e(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Chlorination</td>
<td>10–170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>228,000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Chlorination</td>
<td>2–88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>Chlorination</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>UV radiation</td>
<td>1–150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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and Cattanach (3) and Parveen et al. (16) demonstrated previously that antibiotic resistance was more highly associated with PS than with NPS. *E. coli* has long been used as an indicator of fecal contamination; however, differentiation between human and agricultural sources has been limited. The use of MAR indices has demonstrated potential for differentiating *E. coli* sources (16). MAR indices for each isolate were calculated by dividing the number of antibiotics to which the isolate was resistant by the total number of antibiotics tested (Table 3). The MAR indices identified in this study (0.26 for PS isolates and 0.15 for NPS isolates) were directly comparable with those previously reported in the literature for PS isolates (~0.25) and NPS isolates (~0.13) (16), emphasizing the potential of MAR indices in identifying the source of fecal contamination. The higher MAR levels of PS isolates are most probably due to the larger range of drugs utilized in human therapeutic treatment than in agriculture and the potential exchange of resistance elements (e.g., plasmids and integrons) during the treatment process. Research has demonstrated high rates of exchange of transferable elements within WWTPs, even in the absence of antibiotics as selective agents (9, 12).

Somewhat surprisingly, the incidence of antibiotic resistance among WWTP *E. coli* isolates was significantly lower than that among the PS isolates. It is difficult to assess the implications of this observation without knowing the concentrations of *E. coli* bacteria in the investigated WWTP samples, which were not possible to determine during this study. Given the substantial reduction of *E. coli* levels during wastewater treatment, particularly with disinfection, this observation may be misleading; although the proportion of resistant *E. coli* isolates in PS samples was higher, one would expect a much higher number of resistant *E. coli* isolates in WWTP samples, even considering the lower rates of resistance. Wastewater treatment has been shown to have many effects on the prevalence of resistant *E. coli* bacteria. Reinthaler et al. (18) have demonstrated that the rate of antibiotic resistance in WWTP effluent is greater than that in wastewater before treatment and have proposed that treatment selects for more-resistant organisms. This selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of isolates (no. of isolates)</th>
<th>≥1 antibiotic</th>
<th>Ampicillin</th>
<th>Cephalothin</th>
<th>Nalidixic acid</th>
<th>Sulfafurazole</th>
<th>Gentamicin</th>
<th>Tetracycline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WWTPs (100)</td>
<td>31 A</td>
<td>15 A</td>
<td>14 A</td>
<td>5 A</td>
<td>17 A</td>
<td>NR A</td>
<td>10 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (74)</td>
<td>87 B</td>
<td>19 A</td>
<td>41 B</td>
<td>5 A</td>
<td>32 B</td>
<td>8 B</td>
<td>51 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS (88)</td>
<td>62 C</td>
<td>7 B</td>
<td>30 C</td>
<td>NR B</td>
<td>20 A</td>
<td>NR A</td>
<td>34 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oysters (50)</td>
<td>4 D</td>
<td>NR C</td>
<td>2 D</td>
<td>NR B</td>
<td>NR C</td>
<td>4 C</td>
<td>NR D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between sources. NR, no resistance.*
can occur through numerous pathways. Firstly, the presence of low concentrations of antibiotics within WWTPs has been documented previously (1, 2, 4, 13, 14), and these antibiotics may exert selective pressure favoring resistant organisms. Effluent disinfection, while lowering the total bacterial count, has also been shown to increase the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and MAR (15). These observations, when combined, may possibly account for the higher incidence of antibiotic resistance among PS isolates than among WWTP isolates due to the isolation of PS bacteria from areas receiving final effluent in contrast to the isolation of WWTP bacteria from samples at each stage of sewage treatment, including raw effluent that has not yet undergone treatment.

The concentration of *E. coli* bacteria detected in oysters (most probable number [MPN], 17 g\(^{-1}\)) is considered low compared to values reported in the literature. The numbers of *E. coli* cells isolated from shellfish associated with PS discharges have been shown to range from an MPN of 200 g\(^{-1}\) to an MPN of 46,000 g\(^{-1}\) and reflect concentrations of *E. coli* bacteria in the surrounding waters (8, 10, 17, 19), although comparative data are scarce. Levels of antibiotic resistance among oyster *E. coli* isolates were low, with only 10% of isolates indicating resistance to at least one antibiotic. Limited comparative data are available; however, in a study by Cooke (6), rates of antibiotic resistance among oyster *E. coli* isolates from WWTP outfalls were found to range from 56 to 100%, in complete contrast to the results of the present study. Additionally, the incidence of MAR among oyster isolates ranged from 11 to 81% (6), whereas no incidence of MAR among oyster isolates was seen in this study.

Antibiotic resistance, particularly MAR, is a major public health threat, and the presence of resistant organisms in environmental waters is an emerging concern around the world. The potential for this resistance to be transferred to native populations or other pathogenic species is largely unknown and warrants further investigation. This study has further emphasized the potential application of MAR indices for identifying the origin of fecal pollution. This application would provide a beneficial tool for administrators in managing wastewater discharge to the aquatic environment.
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